

DEC 11 2023

MAT520 - FA - HW6 Solns

[Q1] On $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}) \equiv \left\{ \psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\psi(n)|^2 < \infty \right\}$

we define

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{\varphi(n)} \psi(n) \quad (\varphi, \psi \in \ell^2)$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz ineq. on \mathbb{C}^N shows that

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{\varphi(n)} \psi(n) \right| \leq \sqrt{\left(\sum_{n=1}^N |\varphi(n)|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |\psi(n)|^2 \right)}$$

for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ shows $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is finite-valued and hence

well-def. It induces the norm

$$\|\varphi\| \equiv \sqrt{\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle} \quad (\varphi \in \ell^2)$$

and hence the metric

$$d(\varphi, \psi) := \|\varphi - \psi\| \quad (\varphi, \psi \in \ell^2).$$

To show $(\ell^2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a Hil. sp.

we need to show:

- ① ℓ^2 is a \mathbb{C} -v/sp.
- ② $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a sesqui-lin. form.
- ③ ℓ^2 is complete.

② is obvious from def., from which $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm so ① follows easily via the triangle ineq.

So we are left with ③:

Let $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ be Cauchy. W.T.S. it converges.

$$|\varphi_n(m) - \varphi_{\tilde{n}}(m)| \equiv \left| \langle \overset{\text{ONB of } \ell^2}{\delta_m}, \varphi_n - \varphi_{\tilde{n}} \rangle \right|$$

$$\stackrel{\text{C.S.}}{\leq} \underbrace{\|\delta_m\|}_{=1} \underbrace{\|\varphi_n - \varphi_{\tilde{n}}\|}_{\text{small}}$$

\Rightarrow For fixed m , $\{\varphi_n(m)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is Cauchy and hence by completeness of \mathbb{C}

converges to some $\varphi_\infty(m)$.

W.T.S. $\{\varphi_\infty(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_\infty(m)|^2 &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(m) \right|^2 && \text{cont.} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_n(m)|^2 && \text{Fatou's lemma} \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_n(m)|^2}_{\equiv \|\varphi_n\|_{\ell^2}^2} \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $|\|\varphi_n\| - \|\varphi_m\|| \leq \|\varphi_n - \varphi_m\|$, $\{\|\varphi_n\|\}_n \subseteq [0, \infty)$ is Cauchy too, and so $\{\|\varphi_n\|\}_n$ converges.

$\Rightarrow \varphi_\infty \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \|\varphi_n - \varphi_\infty\|^2 &\equiv \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_n(m) - \varphi_\infty(m)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lim_{\tilde{n} \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi_n(m) - \varphi_{\tilde{n}}(m)|^2 && \text{Fatou} \\ &\leq \liminf_{\tilde{n} \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_n(m) - \varphi_{\tilde{n}}(m)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\| \varphi_n - \varphi_{\tilde{n}} \|_{\ell^2}^2$$

But $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ is Cauchy, so $\| \varphi_n - \varphi_{\tilde{n}} \|$ can be made arbitrarily small if both n, \tilde{n} are suff. large. Hence $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi_\infty$ in ℓ^2 . \square

Q2

We define $L^2(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$ as follows:

$$f \sim g \iff \lambda(\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}) = 0$$

↑
Leb. msr. on \mathbb{R}

for any $f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable

$$\text{Then } L^2(\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}) \equiv \{ [f] \mid f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \int |f|^2 d\lambda < \infty \}$$

w/ inner prod.

$$\langle [f], [g] \rangle \equiv \int \bar{f} g d\lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By the same arguments as above we only need to show the induced metric is complete

To that end, let $\{f_n\}_n \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be Cauchy.

Then \exists subseq of $f_{n_j}\}_j$ s.t.

$$\|f_{n_j} - f_{n_{j+1}}\|_{L^2} \leq 2^{-j} \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}).$$

$$\text{Set } S_N(x) := f_{n_1}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^N f_{n_{j+1}}(x) - f_{n_j}(x)$$
$$A_N(x) := |f_{n_1}(x)| + \sum_{j=1}^N |f_{n_{j+1}}(x) - f_{n_j}(x)| \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N})$$

Then for fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{A_N(x)\}_N$ is a non-decr. seq. and hence converges to some $A_\infty(x)$, possibly ∞ . Now

$$\|A_N\|_{L^2} \leq \|f_{n_1}\|_{L^2} + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^N \|f_{n_{j+1}} - f_{n_j}\|_{L^2}}_{\leq 2^j}$$

$$\leq \|f_{n_1}\|_{L^2} + 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow \|A_\infty\|_{L^2} \stackrel{\text{Fatou}}{\leq} \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|A_N\| \leq \|f_{n_1}\|_{L^2} + 1 < \infty.$$

So $A_\infty \in L^2$. On the set where $A_n \neq \infty$
 set $S_\infty := 0$. Otherwise for all other $x \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $S_\infty(x)$ converges abs. and hence has a lim.
 ... ▣

Q3 When $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \cong \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let then $A := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$B := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Then $\|A\| = 1, \|B\| = 1$
 $\|A+B\| = 2, \|A-B\| = 1$

and so $2\|A\|^2 + 2\|B\|^2 = 4$ get
 $\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 4$!

Q4 Claim 7.9 says $(M^\perp)^\perp = \overline{M}$.

Q5 Let $\{\varphi_n\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be pairwise \perp ;
 $\varphi_n \perp \varphi_m$ if $n \neq m$.

Claim: TFAE:

$$(1) \sum_n \varphi_n \exists \text{ in } \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

$$(2) \sum_n \|\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 < \infty$$

$$(3) \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H}, \sum_n \langle \psi, \varphi_n \rangle \exists.$$

Proof: $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$

$$\left\| \sum_n \varphi_n \right\|^2 = \left\langle \sum_n \varphi_n, \sum_m \varphi_m \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n,m} \langle \varphi_n, \varphi_m \rangle \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{pairwise} \\ \text{ortho.} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_n \|\varphi_n\|^2$$

$$(2) \Rightarrow (1) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_n - \sum_{n=1}^M \varphi_n \right\|^2 = \sum_{n=M+1}^N \|\varphi_n\|^2 \text{ small as}$$

$$\sum_n \|\varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 < \infty. \text{ Hence}$$

$\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_n \right\}_N$ is Cauchy and so

converges.

$$\boxed{(1) \Rightarrow (3)} \quad \sum_n \langle \psi, \varphi_n \rangle = \langle \psi, \sum_n \varphi_n \rangle \quad \exists.$$

$$\boxed{(3) \Rightarrow (2)} \quad \text{If } \forall \psi, \sum_n \langle \psi, \varphi_n \rangle \exists,$$

then by Riesz, \exists vector

$$\underline{\Phi} \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$\sum_n \langle \psi, \varphi_n \rangle = \langle \psi, \underline{\Phi} \rangle.$$

In particular

$$\langle \underline{\Phi}, \varphi_n \rangle = \|\varphi_n\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and so } \langle \underline{\Phi}, \underline{\Phi} \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \underline{\Phi}, \varphi_n \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_n\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

■

$\boxed{Q6}$ In going from (1) \Rightarrow (3) above we have NOT used pairwise \perp . But for the other direction we have!

Counterexample: On $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$,
 $\varphi_i := \delta_i$ (Kronecker ONB).

$$\varphi_n := \delta_n - \delta_{n-1} \quad (n \geq 2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_n = \delta_N$$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n$ does NOT exist.

But, $\forall \varphi \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$,

$$\langle \varphi, \sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_n \rangle = \langle \varphi, \delta_N \rangle = \varphi(N) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

as $\varphi \in \ell^2$. \square

Q7

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$: $\alpha^N = 1$, $\alpha^2 \neq 1$.

Claim: $\forall \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha^n \|\alpha^n \varphi + \psi\|^2$$

Proof: $\|\alpha^n \varphi + \psi\|^2 = |\alpha^n|^2 \|\varphi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \alpha^n \varphi, \psi \rangle$

Note $\alpha^N = 1 \Rightarrow |\alpha| = 1$.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha^n \|\alpha^n \varphi + \psi\|^2 = \alpha^n (\|\varphi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2) + \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle + \alpha^{2n} \langle \psi, \varphi \rangle$$

Claim: $\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha^n = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha^{2n} = 0$.

Proof: $\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha^n = \frac{\alpha(1-\alpha^N)}{1-\alpha} \stackrel{\alpha^N=1}{=} 0$

geometric sum.

similarly,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N d^{2n} = \frac{\alpha^2(1-\alpha^{2N})}{1-\alpha^2} \neq 0!$$

$$\text{But } 1-\alpha^{2N} = (1-\alpha^N)(1+\alpha^N).$$

The other claim follows using

$$\int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} e^{i\theta} d\theta = 0$$

$$\int_{\theta=0}^{2\pi} e^{2i\theta} d\theta = 0.$$

Q8

Let $\{\varphi_n\}_n, \{\psi_n\}_n \subseteq \{\xi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \|\xi\| \leq 1\}$.

Assume $\langle \varphi_n, \psi_n \rangle \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Claim: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n - \psi_n\| = 0$

Proof: $\|\varphi_n - \psi_n\|^2 = \|\varphi_n\|^2 + \|\psi_n\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\{\langle \varphi_n, \psi_n \rangle\}$

$$\leq 2(1 - \operatorname{Re}\{\langle \varphi_n, \varphi_n \rangle\})$$

$$= 2 \operatorname{Re}\{ \underbrace{1 - \langle \varphi_n, \varphi_n \rangle}_{\rightarrow 0} \}$$

[Q9] Let $\{\varphi_n\}_n \subseteq \mathcal{H}$: $w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi \exists \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$.

Assume $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n\| = \|\varphi\|$ in \mathbb{R} .

Claim: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n - \varphi\| = 0$, i.e.,
 $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ in $\|\cdot\|$.

Proof: $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|^2 = \|\varphi_n\|^2 + \|\varphi\|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}\{\langle \varphi, \varphi_n \rangle\}$.

Since we have the weak conv.,
 it holds in part. w/ φ itself, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi, \varphi_n \rangle = \|\varphi\|^2.$$

Hence $\langle \varphi, \varphi_n \rangle \rightarrow \|\varphi\|^2$, whence

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|^2 \rightarrow \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n\|^2 \right) + \|\varphi\|^2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}\{\|\varphi\|^2\}$$

$$= 0.$$

Q10 Let V be an inner prod. sp. and $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^N \subseteq V$ an orthonormal set.

For fixed $\psi \in V$, define

$$F_\psi: \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$$\alpha \mapsto \left\| \psi - \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n \varphi_n \right\|^2$$

Claim: F_ψ is minimized on

$$\alpha_{\min} \in \mathbb{C}^N \quad \text{w/}$$

$$(\alpha_{\min})_n := \langle \varphi_n, \psi \rangle$$

Proof: $F(\alpha_{\min} + \beta)^2 = \left\| \psi - \sum_{n=1}^N (\langle \varphi_n, \psi \rangle + \beta_n) \varphi_n \right\|^2$

$$= \left\| \psi - \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \varphi_n, \psi \rangle \varphi_n \right\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N |\beta_n|^2 \|\varphi_n\|^2 -$$

$$- 2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left\langle \psi - \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \varphi_n, \psi \rangle \varphi_n, \sum_{n=1}^N \beta_n \varphi_n \right\rangle \right\}$$

But $\left(\psi - \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \varphi_n, \psi \rangle \varphi_n \right) \perp \varphi_m \quad \forall m:$

$$\langle \varphi_m, \psi - \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \varphi_n, \psi \rangle \varphi_n \rangle \stackrel{\text{orthonormality}}{=} \langle \varphi_m, \psi \rangle - \langle \varphi_m, \psi \rangle = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow F(\alpha_{\min} + \beta) = \left\| \psi - \sum_{n=1}^N \langle \psi, \varphi_n \rangle \varphi_n \right\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^N |\beta_n|^2 \|\varphi_n\|^2.$$

Clearly min when $\beta = 0$.

