

INTRODUCTION

We now want to study elliptic curves. A full treatment would require algebraic geometry, which is beyond the scope of this course; however, we can get a basic understanding using analytic and projective geometry. I will discuss this geometric foundation before Zech applies it to elliptic curves.

CUBICS AND ELLIPTIC CURVES

Define $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{C})$ as the complex projective plane, namely $\{(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\} : (X, Y, Z) \equiv (\lambda X, \lambda Y, \lambda Z), \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}\}$

Define a curve F given by $F(x, y, z) = 0$ as an element of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[X, Y, Z]_d)$, the projective space of $(\mathbb{C}$ -vector) the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree d in X, Y, Z .

Define a line as a curve with $d=1$, conic as $d=2$, and cubic as $d=3$.

Define a decomposition of a curve as a factoring into ~~non~~ unions of lower-degree curves.

Define F absolutely irreducible to mean $F \in K[X, Y, Z]$

is irreducible in the algebraic closure $\bar{K}[X, Y, Z]$.

Define $P = (a, b, c) \in F(\bar{K})$ as singular to mean

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial X}(P) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Y}(P) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial Z}(P) = 0.$$

Define a simple P to mean nonsingular, and a smooth

curve to mean P simple $\forall P \in \mathbb{P}_2(\bar{K})$.

→ IF $P \in F \cap G$ for plane curves F, G then P is singular in the curve FG .

Proof: $\forall u \in \{X, Y, Z\}$ have

$$\frac{\partial(FG)}{\partial u}(P) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}(P)G(P) + F(P)\frac{\partial G}{\partial u}(P),$$

and by defn of singular, RHS = $0 + 0 = 0$.

→ IF $F(\bar{K})$ is smooth then F is absolutely irreducible.

Define an elliptic curve over K as a smooth cubic

F s.t. $F(K) \neq \emptyset$.

(Example: for what fields is $Y^2Z - X^3$ an elliptic curve?)

→ IF $F \in K[X, Y, Z]_d$, $F = GH \neq 0$, $G \in K[X, Y, Z] \setminus K$, and $H \in K[X, Y, Z] \setminus K$, then G and H are homogeneous.

Proof: set $F = GH$, consider indeterminate T s.t.

$(X, Y, Z) \rightarrow (TX, TY, TZ)$. Exercise (answer p. 176).

→ If $F = \prod_{i=1}^r F_i^{e_i}$, the decomposition into a product of irreducible ~~components~~ ^{components} in $\bar{k}[X, Y, Z]$, then F_i homogeneous $\forall i$, and $F(\bar{k}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r F_i(\bar{k})$.

Define the dehomogenisation of F in Z as $F_b(x, y) := F(x, y, 1)$.

Define the order of multiplicity $[m_p(F)]$ of P on F as the

Smallest index i s.t. $F_i(X, Y) \neq 0$.

Define a rational map $[F \dashrightarrow G]$ as $\varphi(P) = (A(P), B(P), C(P))$

for all but finitely many $P \in F(\bar{k}) \rightarrow G(\bar{k})$, where A, B, C

are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree in $\bar{k}[X, Y, Z]$.

(Example: $F = Z, G = Y^2 - XZ, \varphi(x, y, z) = (x^2, xy, y^2)$)

→ If F is smooth then φ is defined $\forall P \in F(\bar{k})$.

→ If F is singular then φ is defined $\forall P \in F(\bar{k})$ s.t. P is ^{simple} ~~smooth~~.

Define rational $\varphi: F \dashrightarrow G$ as birational if $\exists \psi: G \dashrightarrow F$

rational map s.t. $\psi \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}$.

Define elliptic curve equivalence as the existence of

such a birational map.

BÉZOUT'S THEOREM

Define \mathcal{O}_P as the local ring of P in \mathbb{K}^2 , i.e.

$$\{ U(x, y) / V(x, y) : U, V \in \mathbb{K}[X, Y], V(0, 0) \neq 0 \}.$$

Define (F_b, G_b) as the ideal generated by F_b and G_b in \mathcal{O}_P .

Define the intersection multiplicity of F and G at P

as the integer $\mu_P(F, G) = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathcal{O}_P / (F_b, G_b)$.

→ Bézout's Theorem: if F and G are two algebraic plane curves in $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{K})$ of degree m and n with no common component,

$$\text{then } \sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{K})} \mu_P(F, G) = mn.$$

→ $\mu_P(F, G)$ has the following 7 properties:

1) $\mu_P \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $\mu_P < \infty$ iff F, G have no common component containing P .

2) $\mu_P = 0$ iff $P \notin F \cap G$. μ_P is independent of the F, G components containing P .

3) μ_P is independent of change of coordinates

$$4) \mu_P(F, G) = \mu_P(G, F)$$

5) $\mu_P(F, G) \geq m_P(F)m_P(G)$ and $\mu_P(F, G) = m_P(F)m_P(G)$ iff F, G have no common tangents at P .

6) IF $F = \prod F_i^{r_i}$ and $G = \prod G_j^{s_j}$ then

$$\mu_p(F, G) = \sum_{i,j} r_i s_j \mu_p(F_i, G_j)$$

7) $\forall A \in K[x, y]$ have $\mu_p(F, G) = \mu_p(F, G + AF)$

NINE-POINT THEOREM

→ IF F, G have no common component, all points of $F(K) \cap G(K)$

are simple over F , and H is a curve s.t. $HF \cong GF$, then

$\exists A$ homogeneous polynomial s.t. $AF = HF - GF$.

→ Nine-point Theorem: IF C, C', C'' are cubics, C is

absolutely irreducible, $CC' = \sum_{i=1}^9 P_i$, P_i are simple and NN distinct,

$CC'' = \sum_{i=1}^9 P_i + Q$, then $Q = P_9$.

GROUP LAWS ON AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

~~Recall~~ Recall from Shiv's lecture the group law that if

$A = F(u)$ and $B = F(v)$ then $A \oplus B = F(u+v) = F(F^{-1}(A) + F^{-1}(B))$.

→ IF C is a smooth cubic defined over K , ~~then~~ A and B are

in $C(K)$, and R is the third point of intersection of the line

AB with C , then $A \oplus B$ relative to the origin is the third

point of intersection of the line OR with C .

→ $(C(K), \oplus)$ is an Abelian group

Proof: By the previous, $C(K)$ is closed under \oplus , and by

defn of the origin $A \oplus \mathcal{O} = A$, $A = B^{-1}$ iff AB

intersects the origin tangent on C . The difficult part

is associativity. WTS $(P \oplus Q) \oplus R = P \oplus (Q \oplus R)$.

Define S to be the inverse of LHS and T of RHS.

This requires the 9-point theorem, which he omits,

it's just adding 6 points, making 6 collinearities, and

a lot of arithmetic.

→ If $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'$ correspond to $(C(K), \oplus), (C(K), \oplus')$, then the two groups are isomorphic.

Proof: Want to define a birational isomorphism over K .

Let $P, Q \in C(K)$ and define $*$ as the operation taking

PQ line to its third intersection point with C , R ,

s.t. $P * Q = R$. Consider $\varphi: \oplus \dashrightarrow \oplus'$ such that

$\varphi(P) = \mathcal{O} * (\mathcal{O}' * P)$. This is birational, considering

$\varphi(Q) = \mathcal{O}' * (\mathcal{O} * Q)$, and it maps origin to origin'.

It remains to show $\varphi(P \oplus Q) = \varphi(P) \oplus' \varphi(Q)$. Expanding

this gives

$$O \star (O' \star (O \star (P \star Q))) = O' \star ((O(O'P)) \star (O(O'Q))).$$

This follows from the lemma that for any 4 points

$$O \star C(K), L \star (\Omega \star (M \star N)) = M \star (\Omega \star (L \star N)).$$

To prove this lemma, exercise. The answer is 60790 of page 187: multiply LHS by Ω and use original associativity from above.

Define a Weierstrass cubic as a smooth curve with ~~the~~

$$O = (0, 1, 0) \text{ of the form } w: y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y - (x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6) = 0.$$

REDUCTION MOD P

Define the reduction map $\boxed{\pi}: \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that

$$\pi(a, b, c) = (\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}), \text{ the } \bar{\quad} \text{ denoting reduction.}$$

→ If C is a plane curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , and D a line of

$$\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{Q}), C(\mathbb{Q}) \cap D(\mathbb{Q}) = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \text{ for } P_i \text{ repeated } \mu_i \text{ times,}$$

$$\text{and } \bar{D} \text{ is not a component of } \bar{C}, \text{ then } \bar{C}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cap \bar{D}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \{\bar{P}_1, \bar{P}_2, \bar{P}_3\}$$

with same \bar{P}_i multiplicity as P_i .

→ If cubic C is smooth in $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, $O \in C(\mathbb{Q})$, and \bar{C} is

smooth, then π is a homomorphism from $(C(\mathbb{Q}), \oplus)$ to

$$(C(\mathbb{F}_p), \bar{\oplus}), \bar{\oplus} \text{ denoting } \oplus \text{ wrt } \bar{O} \text{ instead of } O.$$

→ If C the same except \bar{C} has a double point S in $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$
~~and~~ and $\mathcal{O} \in (C(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \pi^{-1}(S))$, then $\{\mathcal{O}\}$ is a subgroup
of $C(\mathbb{Q})$ and π induces a homomorphism of this
subgroup on the set of ~~the~~ simple points of $\bar{C}(\mathbb{F}_p)$
with addition law \oplus .

Define W having a good reduction at p when \bar{W} smooth.

Define W having a multiplicative reduction at p

when \bar{W} has a double point with distinct tangents in
 $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Define W having an additive reduction at p

when \bar{W} has a cusp in $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.