

# Monomial and Elementary Symmetric Functions

Tuan Dolmen

Spring 2023

## 1 The Monomial Symmetric Polynomials

**Construction of a monomial symmetric polynomial:**

- Pick a set of variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$
- Pick a monomial in these variables (e.g.  $x_\alpha^3 x_\beta$ )
- Construct a monomial symmetric polynomial by adding all of the distinct combinations of variables of the monomial we chose.

**Example 1.1.** Find  $h \in \Lambda(X_2)$  that includes  $x_1^4 x_2$

This polynomial must be invariant under:

$$(12) \longrightarrow x_2^4 x_1, \quad (1)(2) \longrightarrow x_1^4 x_2$$

Hence, we get the following polynomial:

$$h(x_1, x_2) = x_1^4 x_2 + x_2^4 x_1$$

**Example 1.2.** Find  $f \in \Lambda(X_3)$  that includes  $x_1^3 x_2$

This polynomial must be invariant under:

$$(12) \longrightarrow x_2^3 x_1, \quad (23) \longrightarrow x_1^3 x_3, \quad (13) \longrightarrow x_3^3 x_2, \quad (123) \longrightarrow x_2^3 x_3, \quad (132) \longrightarrow x_3^3 x_1, \quad (1)(2)(3) \longrightarrow x_1^3 x_2$$

Hence, we get the following polynomial:

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^3 x_2 + x_1^3 x_3 + x_2^3 x_1 + x_2^3 x_3 + x_3^3 x_1 + x_3^3 x_2$$

**Example 1.3.** Find  $g \in \Lambda(X_3)$  that includes  $3x_1^2 x_2 x_3^2$

This polynomial must be invariant under:

$$(12) \longrightarrow 3x_2^2 x_1 x_3^2, \quad (13) \longrightarrow 3x_3^2 x_2 x_1^2, \quad (23) \longrightarrow 3x_1^2 x_3 x_2^2, \quad (123) \longrightarrow 3x_2^2 x_3 x_1^2, \quad (132) \longrightarrow 3x_3^2 x_1 x_2^2, \\ (1)(2)(3) \longrightarrow 3x_1^2 x_2 x_3^2$$

We see that there are some terms that simply duplicates, which we do not need. Hence, we get the following polynomial:

$$g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 3x_1^2 x_2 x_3^2 + 3x_1^2 x_3 x_2^2 + 3x_2^2 x_1 x_3^2$$

**Definition 1.4. (Partition)**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , a partition of  $n$  is a weakly decreasing (i.e.  $\lambda_n \geq \lambda_{n+1}$ ) sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of nonnegative integers such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j = n$ . If  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$ , we write " $\lambda \vdash n$ " and  $|\lambda| = n$ .

Now, we make an important observation:  $x_1^3 x_2$  and  $x_3^3 x_1$  give the same polynomial

$$x_1^3 x_2 + x_1^3 x_3 + x_2^3 x_1 + x_2^3 x_3 + x_3^3 x_1 + x_3^3 x_2$$

From this observation, we can infer that we can rearrange the factors in our monomial to ensure that when we list the variables in the order  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  that exponents form a partition. This provides the motivation for the following definition.

**Definition 1.5.** Suppose  $n \geq 1$  and  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$ . Then the monomial symmetric polynomial  $m_\lambda(X_n)$  indexed by  $\lambda$  is the sum of the monomial  $\prod_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} x_j^{\lambda_j}$  and all of its distinct images under the elements of  $S_n$ . Note that  $l(\lambda)$  is the length of the partition. Here, we take  $x_j = 0$  for all  $j > n$ , so if  $l(\lambda) > n$ , then  $m_\lambda(X_n) = 0$ . This is a fancy way of saying "if there are more exponents in a monomial than the number of variables" then polynomial has to be zero. We also denote  $m_{4,4,3,1}(X_n) \rightarrow "m_{4431}(X_n)"$

**Examples 1.6.**

- $m_{21}(X_2) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_2^2 x_1$
- $m_{21}(X_3) = x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_1 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_1 + x_3^2 x_2$
- $m_{3311}(X_3) = 0$  since  $l(\lambda) = 4 > 3 = n$
- $m_{3311}(X_4) = x_1^3 x_2^3 x_3 x_4 + x_1^3 x_3^3 x_2 x_4 + x_1^3 x_4^3 x_2 x_3 + x_2^3 x_3^3 x_1 x_4 + x_2^3 x_4^3 x_1 x_3 + x_3^3 x_4^3 x_1 x_2$

**Proposition 1.7.**  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \forall \lambda$  the polynomial  $m_\lambda(X_n)$  is a symmetric polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$

**Proof of proposition.** There are two cases:

*Case 1.*  $n < l(\lambda)$ , then by definition  $m_\lambda(X_n) = 0$  which is obviously a symmetric polynomial.

*Case 2.*  $n \geq l(\lambda)$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** Every permutation is a product of adjacent transpositions.

**Proof of lemma.** Since every permutation is a product of disjoint cycles, it is sufficient to show that every transposition is a product of adjacent transpositions. If  $a_1 < a_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 \ a_2) &= \alpha\beta \\ \alpha &= (a_1, a_1 + 1)(a_1 + 1, a_1 + 2) \dots (a_2 - 1, a_2) \\ \beta &= (a_2 - 1, a_2 - 2)(a_2 - 2, a_2 - 3) \dots (a_1 + 1, a + 1) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

With this lemma it suffices to show that  $\sigma_j(m_\lambda(X_n)) = m_\lambda(X_n)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ , where  $\sigma_j$  is  $(j, j + 1)$ . We want to show that every term  $x_1^{\mu_1} \dots x_n^{\mu_n}$  has the same coefficient in  $\sigma_j(m_\lambda(X_n))$  as in  $m_\lambda(X_n)$ . Now, we observe that the coefficient of  $x_1^{\mu_1} \dots x_n^{\mu_n}$  in  $m_\lambda(X_n)$  is 1 if  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  is a reordering of  $\lambda$  and 0 otherwise. Hence, we see that if the coefficient of  $x_1^{\mu_1} \dots x_j^{\mu_j} x_{j+1}^{\mu_{j+1}} \dots x_n^{\mu_n}$  is 1, then the coefficient of  $x_1^{\mu_1} \dots x_{j+1}^{\mu_j} x_j^{\mu_{j+1}} \dots x_n^{\mu_n}$  is 1 and vice versa. This proves proposition 1.7.  $\square$

**Remark 1.9.** If  $\lambda \vdash k$ , then the monomial symmetric polynomial  $m_\lambda(X_n)$  is homogeneous of degree  $k$ , so  $m_\lambda(X_n) \in \Lambda_k(X_n)$ .

**Remark 1.10.** Homogeneous:  $x^5 + 2x^3y^2 + 9xy^4$ , Non-homogeneous:  $x^3 + 3x^2y^4 + z^7$

**Proposition 1.11.** If  $n \geq 1$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , and  $n \geq k$ , then the set

$$\{m_\lambda(X_n) : \lambda \vdash k\}$$

of monomial symmetric polynomials is a basis for  $\Lambda_k(X_n)$ . In particular,  $\dim \Lambda_k(X_n) = p(k)$ , the number of partitions of  $k$ .

**Proof of proposition.** To prove that the given set is a basis, it suffices to check two things: linear independence, and span.

*Linear Independence:* First, observe that if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are partitions with  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $m_\lambda(X_n)$  and  $m_\mu(X_n)$  have

no terms in common. Therefore, since each  $m_\lambda(X_n)$  is nonzero,  $\sum_{\lambda \vdash k} a_\lambda m_\lambda(X_n) = 0$  can only occur if  $a_\lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \vdash k$ . Hence,  $\{m_\lambda(X_n) : \lambda \vdash k\}$  is linearly independent.

*Span:* Suppose  $f \in \Lambda_k(X_n)$ . If  $f = 0$ , then  $f = \sum_{\lambda \vdash k} 0 \cdot m_\lambda(X_n)$ , so suppose  $f \neq 0$ . Now, argue by induction on the number of terms of  $f$ .  $f$  has a term of the form  $\alpha \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{\mu_j}$  for some  $\mu \vdash k$  and some constant  $\alpha$ . Then  $f - \alpha m_\mu(X_n) \in \Lambda_k(X_n)$  and  $f - \alpha m_\mu(X_n)$  has fewer terms than  $f$ . By induction,  $f - \alpha m_\mu(X_n)$  is a linear combination of the elements of  $\{m_\lambda(X_n) : \lambda \vdash k\}$ , and thus  $f$  is as well.

There is exactly one monomial symmetric polynomial in  $\Lambda_k(X_n)$  for each partition of  $k$ , which yields  $\dim \Lambda_k(X_n) = p(k)$ .  $\square$

## 2 The Elementary Symmetric Functions

**Motivation:** Suppose we have a polynomial  $f(z)$  with 1 as the leading coefficient (monic) and roots  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Then we can express  $f$  as

$$f(z) = (z - x_1)(z - x_2)\dots(z - x_n)$$

Notice that permuting  $x_i$  does not change  $f$  and if we expand  $f$  we get that the coefficient of  $z^k$  is a symmetric polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . More specifically, for any  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , the coefficient of  $z^{n-k}$  is the sum of all products of exactly  $k$  distinct  $x_j$ 's up to sign. This is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree  $k$  in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .

**Definition 2.2.**  $\forall n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , the elementary symmetric polynomial  $e_k(X_n)$  is given by

$$e_k(X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n} \prod_{m=1}^k x_{j_m} = \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq [n] \\ |J|=k}} \prod_{j \in J} x_j$$

while the elementary symmetric function  $e_k$  is given by

$$e_k = \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\ |J|=k}} \prod_{j \in J} x_j$$

By convention  $e_0(X_n) = 1$  and  $e_0 = 1$ , and if  $n < k$ , then  $e_k(X_n) = 0$ .

**Remark 2.3.**  $(-1)^k e_k(X_n)$  is the coefficient of  $z^{n-k}$  in the polynomial  $(z - x_1)\dots(z - x_n)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Suppose  $n \geq 1$  and  $\lambda$  is a partition. Then the elementary symmetric polynomial indexed by  $\lambda$ ,  $e_\lambda(X_n)$ , is given by

$$e_\lambda(X_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} e_{\lambda_j}(X_n)$$

Similarly, the elementary symmetric function indexed by  $\lambda$ ,  $e_\lambda$ , is given by

$$e_\lambda = \prod_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} e_{\lambda_j}$$

Also, note that if  $n < \lambda_1$ , then  $e_\lambda(X_n) = 0$ .

$\forall n \geq k \geq 1$ , we can write  $e_k(X_n)$  as a linear combination of monomial symmetric polynomials, and then write  $e_k$  as a linear combination of monomial symmetric functions.

**Example 2.5.** Write  $e_{21}(X_3)$ ,  $e_{21}(X_4)$ , and  $e_{21}(X_5)$  as linear combinations of monomial symmetric polynomials, and then write  $e_{21}$  as linear combination of monomial symmetric functions.

We get

$$\bullet e_{21}(X_3) = (x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3)(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) = x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_1^2x_3 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_3 + x_2x_3^2 + x_2x_3^2 = 3m_{111}(X_3) + m_{21}(X_3)$$

- Similarly,  $e_{21}(X_4) = 3m_{111}(X_4) + m_{21}(X_4)$
- And  $e_{21}(X_5) = 3m_{111}(X_5) + m_{21}(X_5)$
- Hence,  $e_{21} = 3m_{111} + m_{21}$

**Remark 2.6.** We will define the following notation: For any partitions  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  with  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$  and for any  $n \geq 1$ , let  $M_{\lambda,\mu,n}(e, m)$  be the rational number defined by

$$e_\lambda(X_n) = \sum_{\mu \vdash |\lambda|} M_{\lambda,\mu,n}(e, m) m_\mu(X_n)$$

Similarly,  $M_{\lambda,\mu}(e, m)$  is the rational number defined by

$$e_\lambda = \sum_{\mu \vdash |\lambda|} M_{\lambda,\mu}(e, m) m_\mu$$

**Proposition 2.7.** For any partitions  $\lambda, \mu$  with  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ , if  $n \geq |\lambda|$ , then  $M_{\lambda,\mu,n}(e, m) = M_{\lambda,\mu}(e, m)$ . In particular, if  $n \geq |\lambda|$ , then  $M_{\lambda,\mu,n}(e, m)$  is independent of  $n$ .

**Proof of proposition.** Set  $k = l(\mu)$  and  $l = l(\lambda)$ . The coefficient  $M_{\lambda,\mu}(e, m)$  is the coefficient of  $x_1^{\mu_1} \dots x_k^{\mu_k}$  in  $e_\lambda$ . If  $n \geq |\lambda|$ , then this coefficient is determined by those terms in  $e_{\lambda_1}, \dots, e_{\lambda_l}$ , involving only  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ . Since  $k \leq |\lambda| \leq n$ , the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , and  $M_{\lambda,\mu}(e, m)$  is determined by those terms in  $e_{\lambda_1}, \dots, e_{\lambda_l}$  involving only  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . This is also how  $M_{\lambda,\mu,n}(e, m)$  is determined, so  $M_{\lambda,\mu}(e, m) = M_{\lambda,\mu,n}(e, m)$   $\square$

**Remark 2.8.** To make our work easier, we represent each term of  $e_k$  with a filling of a  $1 \times k$  tile with distinct positive integers, in increasing order from left to right, corresponding to the subscripts of the factors in that term. For example, the term  $x_2x_3x_5x_7$  corresponds to the filling of a  $1 \times 4$  tile. By stacking and left-justifying these fillings, we can represent each term as a filling of the Ferrers diagram, in which the entries in each row are strictly increasing from left to right. e.g.

2			
1	4		
2	3	5	7

**Figure 2.9.** The filling corresponding to the product of  $x_2x_3x_5x_7, x_1x_4$ , and  $x_2$

Similarly, for  $\mu = (2^2, 1^3)$ , the Ferrers diagram for  $(4, 2, 1)$  becomes

”Do the example - write Ferrers diagram - Put 1s (2) and 2s (2)” - put 3,4,5 - count number of possibilities

This gives the coefficient of  $m_{22111}$  in  $e_{421}$ , which is 11.

**Definition 2.10.** Suppose  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are partitions. We say  $\lambda$  is greater than  $\mu$  in lexicographic order, and we write  $\lambda >_{\text{lex}} \mu$ , whenever there is a positive integer  $m$  such that  $\lambda_j = \mu_j$  for  $j < m$  and  $\lambda_m > \mu_m$ . Here we take  $\lambda_j = 0$  if  $j > l(\lambda)$  and we take  $\mu_j = 0$  if  $j > l(\mu)$ .

**Remark 2.11.** This is very similar to the order we use for the alphabet.

**Example 2.12.** Write the partitions of 6 in lexicographic order, from largest to smallest.

$$\Rightarrow (6) >_{\text{lex}} (5, 1) >_{\text{lex}} (4, 2) >_{\text{lex}} (4, 1^2) >_{\text{lex}} (3^2) >_{\text{lex}} (3, 2, 1) >_{\text{lex}} (3, 1^3) >_{\text{lex}} (2^3) >_{\text{lex}} (2^2, 1^2) >_{\text{lex}} (2, 1^4) >_{\text{lex}} (1^6)$$

**Proposition 2.13.** Suppose  $\lambda, \mu$  are partitions with  $|\lambda| = |\mu|$ . Then

- (i) if  $\mu >_{\text{lex}} \lambda'$  then  $M_{\lambda,\mu}(e, m) = 0$ ;

(ii)  $M_{\lambda, \lambda'}(e, m) = 1$ .

**Proof of proposition.** (i) We have

$$e_\lambda = e_{\lambda_1} \dots e_{\lambda_{l(\lambda)}}$$

and we note that  $M_{\lambda, \mu}(e, m)$  is the coefficient of  $x_1^{\mu_1} \dots x_{l(\mu)}^{\mu_{l(\mu)}}$  in this product. If  $\mu >_{\text{lex}} \lambda'$ , then by definition there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $\mu_m > \lambda'_m$  and  $\mu_j = \lambda'_j$  for  $1 \leq j < m$ . Each factor  $e_{\lambda_j}$  can contribute at most one factor  $x_1$  to our term, so  $\mu_1 = \lambda'_1$  implies each factor  $e_{\lambda_j}$  contributes exactly one factor  $x_1$ . (This corresponds to filling the first column of the Ferrers diagram of  $\lambda$  with 1's.) Similarly, only those  $e_{\lambda_j}$  with  $\lambda_j \geq 2$  can contribute a factor  $x_2$ , so each such  $e_{\lambda_j}$  must contribute exactly one factor  $x_2$ . (This corresponds to filling the second column of the Ferrers diagram of  $\lambda$  with 2's.) Proceeding in this way, we see that only those  $e_{\lambda_j}$  with  $\lambda_j \geq m$  can contribute a factor  $x_m$  to our term, so the exponent on  $x_m$  is at most  $\lambda'_m$ . Since  $\mu_m > \lambda'_m$ , the term  $x_1^{\mu_1} \dots x_{l(\mu)}^{\mu_{l(\mu)}}$  does not appear in our product, and 1 the result follows.

(ii) Arguing as in the proof of (i), we see the only way to produce the term  $x_1^{\lambda'_1} \dots x_{l(\lambda')}^{\lambda'_{l(\lambda')}}$  is to choose the term  $x_1 \dots x_{l(\lambda')} e_{\lambda_j}$  for all  $j$ . Now the result follows.  $\square$

**Remark 2.14.** The converse is false.

**Example 2.15.** Write  $e_{31}$  as a linear combination of monomial symmetric functions.

- Assume  $x_1, \dots, x_4$
- Normally,  $\binom{4}{3} \binom{4}{1} = 16$  terms
- Use Ferrers, diagram (3,1) and plug in  $m_{1^4}, m_{2,1^2}, m_{2^2}, m_{31}$  to find the coefficients

**Corollary 2.16.** The set  $\{e_\lambda | \lambda \vdash k\}$  of elementary symmetric functions is a basis for  $\Lambda_k$ .

**Proof of corollary.** Let  $A$  be the  $p(k) \times p(k)$  matrix whose rows and columns are indexed by the partitions of  $k$ , in lexicographic order from smallest to largest, and whose entries are given by  $A_{\lambda\mu} = M_{\lambda', \mu}(e, m)$ . By the previous proposition,  $A$  is a lower triangular matrix whose diagonal entries are all equal to 1, so  $\det A = 1$  and  $A$  is invertible. Since  $e_{\lambda'} = \sum_{\mu \vdash k} A_{\lambda\mu} m_\mu$ , each monomial symmetric function  $m_\mu$  is a linear combination of elementary symmetric functions, and  $\{e_\lambda | \lambda \vdash k\}$  spans  $\Lambda_k$  by a previous proposition. But  $\dim \Lambda_k = p(k) = |\{e_\lambda | \lambda \vdash k\}|$ , so  $\{e_\lambda | \lambda \vdash k\}$  must also be linearly independent. Therefore  $\{e_\lambda | \lambda \vdash k\}$  is a basis, which is what we wanted to prove.  $\square$

**Fact 2.17.** The following hold for all partitions  $\lambda, \mu \vdash k$ .

$M_{\lambda, \mu}(e, m)$  is the number of  $k \times k$  matrices in which every entry is 0 or 1, the sum of the entries in row  $m$  is  $\mu_m$  for all  $m$ , and the sum of the entries in column  $j$  is  $\lambda_j$  for all  $j$ .

**Corollary 2.18.** For all partitions  $\lambda, \mu \vdash k$ , we have

$$M_{\lambda, \mu}(e, m) = M_{\mu, \lambda}(e, m)$$

**Proof of corollary.** For any partitions  $\lambda, \mu \vdash k$ , let  $B_{\lambda, \mu}$  be the set of  $k \times k$  matrices in which every entry is 0 or 1, the sum of the entries in row  $m$  is  $\mu_m$  for all  $m$ , and the sum of the entries in column  $j$  is  $\lambda_j$  for all  $j$ . By the previous fact we have  $|B_{\lambda, \mu}| = M_{\lambda, \mu}(e, m)$ . The result follows from the fact that the transpose map is a bijection between  $B_{\lambda, \mu}$  and  $B_{\mu, \lambda}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.19.** The ordinary generating function for the sequence  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of elementary symmetric functions is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n t^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_j t)$$

We often write  $E(t)$  to denote this generating function.

**Proof of proposition.** We can build each elementary symmetric function  $e_n$  uniquely by adding the terms which result from deciding, for each  $j$ , whether to include  $x_j$  as a factor or not. This matches our computation of the product on the right hand side of the equality: we construct each term by deciding, for each factor  $1 + x_j t$ , whether to use 1 or  $x_j t$  as a factor.  $\square$

### 3 References

#### References

- [1] Eric S. Egge (2019) *An Introduction to Symmetric Functions and Their Combinatorics*, American Mathematical Society