

Lecture XXI

Classification of Matrices.

§ 11.2 The rank theorem

Theorem Let  $A \in \text{Mat}(m \times n, \mathbb{F})$ . Then there exist invertible matrices  $P \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{F})$ ,  $Q \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{F})$  s.t.

$$Q^{-1}AP = \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow r \\ \uparrow r \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{ccc|cc} 1 & & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & & 0 \\ \hline & & & 0 & \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where  $r = \text{rank}(A)$ .

Equivalent form Given a linear map  $f: V \rightarrow W$  where  $\dim V = n$ ,  $\dim W = m$  there exist bases  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of  $V$  and  $(w_1, \dots, w_m)$  of  $W$  such that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t. these bases is

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|cc} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & & 0 \\ \hline & & & 0 & \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Proof of matrix form.

There exist row operations

$$A \xrightarrow{\text{left mult by } E_1} \begin{pmatrix} \cdot \times \dots \times \times \dots \times \\ \cdot \times \dots \\ \cdot \dots \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{matrix} \text{row} \\ \text{echelon} \\ \text{form.} \end{matrix}$$

Using more row operations <sup>(E<sub>2</sub>)</sup> can make  $\cdot \rightarrow 1$ .

Using column operations <sup>(right multiply by E<sub>3</sub>)</sup> can get

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & \times & \\ & 1 & \times \\ & & \dots \\ & & 1 \\ \hline & 0 & \\ & 0 & \end{array} \right)$$

Using row operations <sup>(E<sub>4</sub>)</sup> can get

$$\left( \begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & & 0 \\ & 1 & \\ \hline & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Using column operations <sup>(E<sub>5</sub>)</sup> can get rid of  $\times$ .

Thus

$$E_4 E_2 E_1 A E_3 E_5 = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Proof of linear map case:

Pick basis  ~~$v_1, \dots, v_n$~~   $v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n$  of  $\text{Ker}(f)$

extend to  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  basis of  $V$

see proof

$\xrightarrow{\text{dim}^n \text{ formula}}$   $f(v_1), \dots, f(v_r)$  basis of  $\text{Im}(f)$

for  $f$  set  $w_i = f(v_i)$   $i=1, \dots, r$

extend to basis  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  of  $W$ .

Done.

§ 11.3. Jordan normal form.

Theorem (Goal for next couple of lectures)

MATRIX VERSION

Given an  $n \times n$ -matrix  $A \in M(n \times n, \mathbb{C})$

over complex numbers there exists a

$P \in GL_{\mathbb{C}}(n, \mathbb{C})$  s.t.

$$P^{-1} A P = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_1 \end{matrix} & \\ \hline & \square \\ & \dots \end{array} \right).$$

Jordan blocks

Jordan normal form.

Equivalent form Given an  $n$ -dimensional complex vector space  $V$  and  $f: V \rightarrow V$  linear there exists a basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of  $V$  such that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t.

We will prove the theorem in its equivalent form.

## Extra Nilpotent endomorphisms

Def<sup>n</sup> Let  $V$  be a vector space. An endo  $f: V \rightarrow V$  is nilpotent iff there exists an  $n \geq 1$  such that

$$f^n = \underbrace{f \circ \dots \circ f}_{(n \text{ times})}$$

is equal to 0.

Rmk. If a diagonal matrix is nilpotent then it is zero. because

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}^m = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^m & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n^m \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus ~~any~~ nilpotent endomorphism is diagonalizable iff it is zero.

Example  $\dim V = 1$  : only nilpotent operator is zero  
 $\dim V = 2$  :  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Lemma Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space. Let  $f: V \rightarrow V$  be a nilpotent endo.

There exists a basis  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  of  $V$  such that the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t.  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  looks like

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boxed{J_1} & & & \\ & \boxed{J_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \boxed{J_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$J_i = \begin{matrix} \xleftarrow{n_i} \\ \uparrow n_i \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad n_i \geq 1, \quad \sum n_i = n$$

Proof. By induction on  $n = \dim(V)$ .

If  $n=0$  or  $n=1$  the lemma is clear (as  $f=0$ ).

Let  $m$  be the smallest integer  $\geq 1$  such that  $f^m = 0$ . Pick a vector  $v_1 \in V$

such that  $f^{m-1}(v_1) \neq 0$  but  $f^m(v_1) = 0$   
(of course).

Set

$$v_2 = f(v_1), v_3 = f^2(v_1), \dots, v_m = f^{m-1}(v_1)$$

Set

$$U = \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m) = L(v_1, \dots, v_m).$$

Let  $W \subset V$  be a subspace ~~of~~ such that

(a)  $W \cap U = 0$

(b)  $f(W) \subset W$

(c)  $\dim W$  maximal among  $W$  with (a) + (b).

~~we want~~ Claim  $W + U = V$

The claim finishes the proof: By I.H. we

can find a basis  $w_1, \dots, w_{\dim(W)}$  s.t.

the matrix of  $f|_W : W \rightarrow W$  ~~is~~ w.r.t.

this basis looks as in the statement  
of the lemma.

Then  $v_1, \dots, v_m, w_1, \dots, w_{\dim W}$  will be a basis for  $V$  (small detail omitted: you have to show  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  are lin. indep., see exercises), and the matrix of  $f$  w.r.t. this will be

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & 0 \\ \hline & & & \text{matrix of} \\ & & & f|_W \text{ w.r.t. } w_1, \dots, w_{\dim W} \end{array} \right)$$

Proof of Claim Say  $W+U \neq V$  to get a contradiction. Pick  $v \in V$ ,  $v \notin W+U$ . Since  $f^m(v) = 0 \in W+U$  we can replace  $v$  by  $f^i(v)$  for some  $i$  and assume that  $v \notin W+U$  but  $f(v) \in W+U$ . Write

$$f(v) = w + u$$

$$u = \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_m v_m$$

If  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f^m(v) &= f^{m-1}(w + u) \\ &= f^{m-1}(w) + f^{m-1}(\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots) \\ &= f^{m-1}(w) + \lambda_1 f^{m-1}(v_1) + \lambda_2 f^m(v_2) \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &= f^{m-1}(w) + \lambda_1 f^{m-1}(v_1) = f^{m-1}(w) + \lambda_1 v_{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

But  $v_{m-1} \in U$  is nonzero and not in  $W$  by (a)

So  $f^m(v) \neq 0$ . Contradiction with  $f^m = 0$ .

Hence  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . Thus

$$u = \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + \lambda_m v_m = f(\lambda_2 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_m v_{m-1})$$

Thus

$$f(v - \lambda_2 v_1 - \dots - \lambda_m v_{m-1}) = w \in W.$$

Then we conclude

$$W' = W + \mathbb{F} \cdot (v - \lambda_2 v_1 \dots - \lambda_m v_{m-1})$$

satisfies (a). But also  $W' \cap U = 0$

because  $v - \lambda_2 v_1 \dots - \lambda_m v_{m-1} \notin W + U$

(small details omitted; see exercises).

Thus (b) holds. Then  $W'$  contradicts

(c) for  $W$  and we see the claim is true

End proof Lemma