**1.** Suppose that  $n = p \cdot q$  is the product of 2 prime numbers, p and q. Assume that y is a square mod n and that  $y \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .

(a) (5 pts.) How many square roots does y have? Explain your answer.

Solution: Since y is a square mod n, it is also a square mod p and mod q. Every square mod p or q has two square roots  $\pm x$  unless it is 0 mod that prime. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem we can combine the square roots mod p and mod q in any way, giving 4 solutions if  $y \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $y \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$ , but only 2 solutions if  $gcd(y, n) \neq 1$  (so that y has only one square root modulo p or modulo q). Since  $y \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , we must have at least 2 square roots.

(b) (5 pts.) Suppose that you know all of the square roots of y. Explain why you can use this information to factor n.

Solution: From the above, either  $gcd(y, n) \neq 1$  (in which case we get a factor of n from the gcd since  $y \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ ), or y has 4 square roots, call them  $\pm a$  and  $\pm b \mod n$ . Then looking at the possibilities for a and  $b \mod p$  and q, we must have  $a \equiv b \pmod{p}$  and  $a \not\equiv b \pmod{q}$  or the same thing with p and q switched, else b would be equal to either a or -a. Then gcd(a - b, n) gives a factor of n.

2. Answer the following two questions about hash functions.

(a) (6 pts.) State each of the 3 desired properties of hash functions.

Solution: These are

- 1. Easy to compute: given m, there is an efficient algorithm to calculate h(m).
- 2. Preimage resistant: given y, it is computationally difficult to find m so that h(m) = y.
- 3. Strongly collision free: it is computationally difficult to find  $m_1, m_2$  so that  $h(m_1) = h(m_2)$ .

(b) (4 pts.) Consider the following function. Given a message m, divide m into blocks of length 160 bits:  $m = M_1 ||M_2|| \dots ||M_\ell$ . Let  $h(m) = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_\ell$ , where  $\oplus$  is the bitwise XOR function. Which of the three properties of a hash function does h satisfy? (Briefly explain why.)

Solution: Only easy to compute. Any y is its own preimage (by padding it on the left by 0's so that y is 160 bits), so it is not preimage resistant. Also you can take m and pad it with an extra 160 0's to get a collision.

**3.** The ElGamal signature scheme signing algorithm is as follows. Alice has fixed a public prime p and primitive root  $\alpha \mod p$ , as well as a secret integer a with  $1 \le a \le p-2$ . She makes  $\beta = \alpha^a \pmod{p}$  public. To sign a message m, she:

- 1. Selects a secret random k such that gcd(k, p-1) = 1.
- 2. Computes  $r \equiv \alpha^k \pmod{p}$ , where 0 < r < p.
- 3. Computes  $s \equiv k^{-1}(m ar) \pmod{p-1}$ .

The signed message is the triple (m, r, s).

(a) (4 pts.) Fill in the blanks in Bob's verification algorithm. (You don't need to prove that it works.)

- 1. Compute  $v_1 \equiv \beta^r r^s \pmod{p}$  and  $v_2 \equiv \alpha^m \pmod{p}$ .
- 2. Check whether  $v_1 \equiv v_2 \pmod{p}$ . If so, declare that the signature is valid.

(b) (4 pts.) Suppose u, v are any numbers such that gcd(v, p-1) = 1. Compute  $r = \beta^v \alpha^u \pmod{p}$  and  $s \equiv -rv^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$ . Prove that (r, s) is a valid signature for  $m = su \pmod{p-1}$ . (This is the existential forgery attack from your homework.)

Solution: We have  $\beta^r r^s \equiv \alpha^{ar+sav+su} \equiv \alpha^{ar-ar+m} \equiv \alpha^m \pmod{p}$ .

(c) (2 pts.) Explain how hash functions can be used in order to prevent the preceding attack. More precisely, if  $\operatorname{sign}_A$  denotes Alice's signing function and h is a hash function, explain why it is hard to use the existential forgery attack to construct a triple of values  $(m, h(m), \operatorname{sign}_A(h(m)))$ .

Solution: The existential forgery attack produces pairs  $(y, \operatorname{sign}_A(y))$  with ease, but with no control over what y looks like. So to provide a triple  $(m, h(m), \operatorname{sign}_A(h(m)))$  using this attack, we would need to find an m with h(m) = y, which is hard by preimage resistance.

**4.** (5 pts.) Consider the following protocol. Let p be a large prime and  $\alpha$  a primitive root. Let a be an integer and let  $\beta = \alpha^a \pmod{p}$ . Suppose p,  $\alpha$ , and  $\beta$  is public, and that Peggy wants to prove to Victor that she knows a without revealing it. They agree to use the following protocol.

- 1. Peggy chooses a random number  $r \pmod{p-1}$ .
- 2. Peggy computes  $h_1 \equiv \alpha^r \pmod{p}$  and  $h_2 \equiv \alpha^{a-r} \pmod{p}$  and sends them to Victor.
- 3. Victor chooses i = 1 or i = 2 and asks Peggy to send either  $r_1 = r$  or  $r_2 = a r \pmod{p-1}$ .
- 4. Victor verifies that  $h_1h_2 \equiv \beta$  and that  $h_i \equiv \alpha^{r_i} \pmod{p}$ .

They repeat this several times.

Suppose that Eve is trying to pretend to be Alice by claiming to Victor that she knows a. Assume that Eve has a guess for Victor's choice of i. In terms of Eve's guess (either 1 or 2), what values of  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  should Eve send Victor in each round? (Your choices of  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  should be such that if Eve's guess is right, she is able to respond to Victor's challenge.)

Solution: Eve generates  $r \pmod{p-1}$  as above. The given values  $h_1 \equiv \alpha^r$ ,  $h_2 \equiv \alpha^{a-r}$  work for i = 1, but she computes  $h_2$  via  $h_2 \equiv \beta \alpha^{-r} \pmod{p}$ . If i = 2, she sets  $h_2 \equiv \alpha^r$  and defines  $h_1 \equiv \beta \alpha^{-r}$  instead. In both cases, she responds to Victor's challenge with r if her guess is correct.

5. Let  $\mathbb{F}_2$  be the finite field of 2 elements, and let  $P(X) = X^4 + X^3 + 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$ .

(a) (3 pts.) Prove that P(X) is irreducible. You may assume that  $X^2 + X + 1$  is the only irreducible polynomial of degree 2 in  $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$ .

Solution: If not,  $P(X) = Q_1(X)Q_2(X)$  for lower degree polynomials  $Q_1, Q_2$ . If either one has degree 1, then P has a root in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , but P(0) = P(1) = 1, so this is not the case. So  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  have degree 2. We can assume both are irreducible, else again P would have a linear factor and thus a root. So P(X) must be  $(X^2 + X + 1)^2 = X^4 + X^2 + 1$ , but this is not the case. So P(X) is irreducible.

(b) (7 pts.) Define a finite field of 16 elements by  $\mathbb{F}_2[X] \pmod{P(X)}$ . Find the inverse of  $X^2 + 1$  in this field.

Solution: We employ the division algorithm to get  $X^4 + X^3 + 1 = (X^2 + X + 1)(X^2 + 1) + X$  and  $X^2 + 1 = X \cdot X + 1$ . We then use the Extended Euclidean algorithm: we calculate  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1, x_2 = -(X^2 + X + 1) \cdot 1 + 0 = X^2 + X + 1, x_3 = -X(X^2 + X + 1) + 1 = X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$ . The algorithm gives the formula  $(X^2 + 1)x_3 + (X^4 + X^3 + 1)y_3 = 1$ , which implies that  $X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$  is the inverse. (Note that to determine the inverse, we did not need to know the actual value of  $y_3$ .) **6.** (a) (5 pts.) Let *E* be the elliptic curve  $y^2 \equiv x^3 + 2x + 1 \pmod{3}$ . Find all the points on *E*.

Solution: We try x = 0, 1, 2 and find that  $x^3 + 2x + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  each time. Since 1 has 1 and 2 as square roots modulo 3, we find (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), and  $\infty$  for our list of points. (b) (5 pts.) How many points P on E satisfy  $P + P = \infty$ ?

Solution: From the doubling formula we see that for P = (x, y),  $2P = \infty$  is the same as y = 0, which is never the case for our E. This leaves  $P = \infty$  as the only solution.

(c) (5 pts.) Find a point Q on E that satisfies (1, 1) + Q = (1, 2).

Solution: Add -(1,1) = (1,2) to both sides to get Q = 2(1,2); the doubling formula shows that Q = (2,2).

**Extra credit.** (a) (1 pt.) Suppose that two sets of r objects are drawn from the same set of size N. What is the formula from class giving an approximate probability of a match between an object in the first set and an object in the second?

Solution:  $1 - e^{-\lambda}$ , where  $\lambda = r^2/N$ .

(b) (4 pts.) Suppose that h is a hash function mapping to strings of n = 60 bits. Explain in a few sentences the method, discussed in class, that would allow Fred the Forger to trick Alice into signing the hash of a legitimate contract C, while simultaneously obtaining her signature on the hash of a fraudulent contract F. Assume that a success probability of  $1 - \frac{1}{e}$  is acceptable.

Solution: Fred finds 30 spots in both the legitimate and fraudulent contracts where an unmeaningful change can be made. Then he hashes all the  $2^{30}$  possible versions of both contracts that can be made by making or not making each of these changes. The probability that h(C) = h(F) for some versions C and F of the legitimate and fraudulent contracts is  $1 - \frac{1}{e}$  by the preceding formula. Then Fred asks Alice to sign the hash of C, which is also the hash of F.

(c) (1 pt.) How can Alice avoid falling for such a trap?

Solution: Alice makes her own unmeaningful change before signing C; to find a hash of a fraudulent contract that matches that of C would require trying around  $2^{60}$  rather than  $2^{30}$  versions, which is too many.