

# The Fourier transform

## 1 Structure of the group algebra

Before we begin, we make some general remarks about algebras. Let  $k$  be a field and let  $A$  be a  $k$ -vector space. We say (somewhat informally) that  $A$  is a  $k$ -algebra if there is a  $k$ -bilinear form  $A \times A \rightarrow A$ , whose value at  $(a, b)$  we denote by  $ab$ . Bilinearity implies the left and right distributive laws (for all  $a, b, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A$ )

$$\begin{aligned}(a_1 + a_2)b &= a_1b + a_2b; \\ a(b_1 + b_2) &= ab_1 + ab_2,\end{aligned}$$

as well as the property that, for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $t \in k$ ,

$$(ta)b = a(tb) = t(ab).$$

Usually we shall just call  $A$  an algebra if the field  $k$  is clear from the context. The algebra  $A$  is *associative* if multiplication is associative i.e. for all  $a, b, c \in A$ ,  $(ab)c = a(bc)$ , and *unital* if there is a multiplicative identity, i.e. an element usually denoted by  $1$  such that, for all  $a \in A$ ,  $1a = a1 = a$ . Note that, in this case,  $1 = 0 \iff A = \{0\}$ . Otherwise, the map  $k \rightarrow A$  defined by  $t \mapsto t \cdot 1$  is injective and identifies  $k$  with the subset  $k \cdot 1 = \{t \cdot 1 : t \in k\}$  of  $A$ . For us, all algebras will be associative and unital (although there are many interesting classes of non-associative algebras). A  $k$ -algebra *homomorphism*  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a function from  $A$  to  $B$  which is both a  $k$ -linear map and a ring homomorphism; equivalently,  $f$  is  $k$ -linear and  $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are unital, then we will also require that  $f(1) = 1$ . The algebra homomorphism  $f$  is an *isomorphism* if it is a bijection. In this case,  $f^{-1}$  is also an algebra homomorphism. A *subalgebra*  $A'$  of  $A$  is defined in the obvious way, as a vector subspace closed under multiplication. If  $A$  is unital then we also require that  $1 \in A'$ . In this case,  $k \cdot 1$  is a subalgebra of  $A$  and is in fact the smallest subalgebra of  $A$ .

**Definition 1.1.** The *center*  $ZA$  of  $A$  is the set of elements which commute with every element of  $A$ :

$$ZA = \{a \in A : ab = ba \text{ for all } b \in A\}.$$

It is easy to check from the definitions that  $ZA$  is a subalgebra of  $A$ . Note that, if  $A$  is unital, then  $1 \in ZA$ , and more generally the subalgebra  $k \cdot 1$  is contained in  $ZA$ .

**Example 1.2.** 1) The set  $\mathbb{M}_d(k)$  of  $d \times d$  matrices with coefficients in  $k$  is an associative, unital  $k$ -algebra, with multiplicative identity the identity matrix  $I$ . It is a linear algebra fact that the center of  $\mathbb{M}_d(k)$  is exactly  $k \cdot I = \{tI : t \in k\}$ . In other words, the only  $d \times d$  matrices which commute with all  $d \times d$  matrices are scalar multiples of the identity matrix.

2) The group algebra  $k[G]$  is an associative, unital  $k$ -algebra, with multiplicative identity  $1 = 1 \cdot 1$ , where the first 1 is the multiplicative identity in  $k$  and the second is the multiplicative identity in  $G$ . We can identify  $k[G]$  with  $L^2(G)$  (for  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ) and multiplication with convolution of functions. We shall describe the center of  $k[G]$  shortly.

3) Given two algebras  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , we can define the *product algebra*  $A_1 \times A_2$  to be the Cartesian product as a vector space together with componentwise multiplication, i.e. given by

$$(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1b_1, a_2b_2).$$

Perhaps confusingly, we write the product algebra as  $A_1 \times A_2$  and not  $A_1 \oplus A_2$ , because the product algebra as we have defined it is a **product** in the category of  $k$ -algebras, not a **coproduct**. (The coproduct of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  is  $A_1 \otimes A_2$ .) Concretely, what this means is that, if  $A$  is an algebra and  $f_1: A \rightarrow A_1$  and  $f_2: A \rightarrow A_2$  are algebra homomorphisms, then  $(f_1, f_2): A \rightarrow A_1 \times A_2$  is an algebra homomorphism, and every algebra homomorphism from  $A$  to  $A_1 \times A_2$  arises in this way.

It is easy to see that  $A_1 \times A_2$  is associative  $\iff A_1$  and  $A_2$  are associative, and that  $A_1 \times A_2$  is unital  $\iff A_1$  and  $A_2$  are unital, in which case the multiplicative identity in  $A_1 \times A_2$  is  $(1, 1)$ . Finally, the center  $Z(A_1 \times A_2)$  is  $ZA_1 \times ZA_2$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $A$  be an associative and unital  $k$ -algebra. A *representation* of  $A$  on a vector space  $V$  is a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\rho: A \rightarrow \text{End } V = \text{Hom}(V, V)$ .

Note that, if  $t \in k$ , then  $\rho(t\alpha)(v) = t(\rho(\alpha))(v) = t(\rho(\alpha)(v))$ . In particular, since  $A$  is unital,  $\rho(t \cdot 1)(v) = tv$  and so the representation is compatible

with, and determines, the vector space structure on  $V$  in the obvious sense. Using this, it is straightforward to show that a representation of  $A$  on  $V$  is the same thing as a (left)  $A$ -module  $V$ .

Now suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and that  $\rho_V$  is a  $G$ -representation. We claim that there is a natural way to extend  $\rho_V$  to give a representation of the group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  (also denoted  $\rho_V$ ) as follows: define

$$\rho_V\left(\sum_{g \in G} t_g \cdot g\right) = \sum_{g \in G} t_g \rho_V(g) \in \text{End } V.$$

Viewing  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  as  $L^2(G)$ , this formula gives

$$\rho_V(f) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(g) = F_{V,f},$$

in the notation of the handout “Characters II,” p. 1.

**Lemma 1.4.** *With notation as above,  $\rho_V$  is an algebra homomorphism from  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  to  $\text{End } V$ .*

*Proof.* This is essentially just a consequence of the way multiplication is defined in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_V\left(\sum_{g \in G} t_g \cdot g \sum_{g \in G} s_g \cdot g\right) &= \rho_V\left(\sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h_1 h_2 = g} t_{h_1} s_{h_2}\right) \cdot g\right) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h_1 h_2 = g} t_{h_1} s_{h_2}\right) \rho_V(g). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_V\left(\sum_{g \in G} t_g \cdot g\right) \rho_V\left(\sum_{g \in G} s_g \cdot g\right) &= \left(\sum_{g \in G} t_g \rho_V(g)\right) \left(\sum_{g \in G} s_g \rho_V(g)\right) \\ &= \sum_{h_1, h_2 \in G} t_{h_1} s_{h_2} \rho_V(h_1) \rho_V(h_2) = \sum_{h_1, h_2 \in G} t_{h_1} s_{h_2} \rho_V(h_1 h_2). \end{aligned}$$

By grouping together all the terms  $t_{h_1} s_{h_2} \rho_V(h_1 h_2)$  in the last summation for which  $h_1 h_2 = g$ , we have

$$\sum_{h_1, h_2 \in G} t_{h_1} s_{h_2} \rho_V(h_1 h_2) = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{h_1 h_2 = g} t_{h_1} s_{h_2}\right) \cdot \rho_V(g).$$

Comparing, we see that  $\rho_V$  is a homomorphism as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 1.5.** 1) In fact, every algebra representation of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ , i.e. every algebra homomorphism from  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  to  $\text{End } V$  where  $V$  is a vector space, arises in this way: given an algebra homomorphism  $\rho_V: \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End } V$ , we can restrict  $\rho_V$  to  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}[G]$ . Then  $\rho_V(g)$  is invertible, since  $\rho_V(g)\rho_V(g^{-1}) = \rho_V(gg^{-1}) = \rho_V(1) = \text{Id}$ , and then clearly the restriction of  $\rho_V$  to  $G$  defines a homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut } V$ .

2) Viewing  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  as  $L^2(G)$ , the lemma says that, for all  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(G)$ ,

$$\rho_V(f_1 * f_2) = \rho_V(f_1) \cdot \rho_V(f_2),$$

where the last product is composition in  $\text{End } V$  (or matrix multiplication after choosing a basis to identify  $\text{End } V$  with  $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$ ).

3) If  $V$  and  $W$  are two representations, then we can define

$$(\rho_V, \rho_W): \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End } V \times \text{End } W$$

as in Example 1.2(3). There is also a natural algebra homomorphism

$$\text{End } V \times \text{End } W \rightarrow \text{End}(V \oplus W)$$

which sends a pair  $(F_1, F_2)$  to the linear map  $F_1 \oplus F_2$  (compare the handout on linear algebra, comment after Remark 7.4). Clearly the composition

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \xrightarrow{(\rho_V, \rho_W)} \text{End } V \times \text{End } W \rightarrow \text{End}(V \oplus W)$$

is  $\rho_{V \oplus W}$ .

**Example 1.6.** 1) For the trivial representation  $\rho = \rho_{\mathbb{C}(1)}$ ,  $\rho(g) = 1 \in \mathbb{C}$  for every  $g \in G$ . Hence  $\rho(\sum_{g \in G} t_g \cdot g) = \sum_{g \in G} t_g$ , and it is not hard to check directly that this defines a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism from  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

2) Let  $V = \mathbb{C}[G]$  be the regular representation, so that  $\rho_V = \rho_{\text{reg}}$ . Then we claim that  $\rho_{\text{reg}}(\alpha): \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$  is left multiplication by  $\alpha$ :

$$\rho_{\text{reg}}(\alpha)(\beta) = \alpha\beta.$$

To see this, first suppose that  $\alpha = g$  and that  $\beta = \sum_{h \in G} s_h \cdot h$ . Then

$$\rho_V(g)(\beta) = \rho_V(g) \left( \sum_{h \in G} s_h \cdot h \right) = \sum_{h \in G} s_h \cdot (gh),$$

by the definition of the regular representation. Thus  $\rho_V(g)(\beta) = g \cdot \beta$  by the definition of multiplication in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . The case where  $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} t_g \cdot g$  then follows since

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\text{reg}}(\alpha)(\beta) &= \sum_{g \in G} t_g \rho_V(g)(\beta) = \sum_{g \in G} t_g (g \cdot \beta) \\ &= \left( \sum_{g \in G} t_g \cdot g \right) \cdot \beta = \alpha \cdot \beta, \end{aligned}$$

since multiplication in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  distributes over addition.

For a finite group  $G$ , let  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  denote the distinct irreducible representations of  $G$  up to isomorphism. If  $d_i = \dim V_i$ , then  $\text{End } V_i \cong \mathbb{M}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C})$  after we have chosen a basis. For each  $i$ , we have the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism  $\rho_{V_i}: \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End } V_i$  and hence the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\rho = (\rho_{V_1}, \dots, \rho_{V_h}): \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End } V_1 \times \dots \times \text{End } V_h \cong \mathbb{M}_{d_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{M}_{d_h}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where the above isomorphism is of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras (and the products are given the product algebra structure as described in Example 1.2 (3)). Viewing  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  as  $L^2(G)$ , we denote the image  $\rho(f)$  of  $f$  by  $\hat{f}$  and call it the *Fourier transform* of  $f$ , for reasons which we will explain later. Note that  $\widehat{f_1 * f_2} = \hat{f}_1 \hat{f}_2$ , which just says that  $\rho$  is an algebra homomorphism.

**Theorem 1.7** (Wedderburn). *The map  $\rho$  is an isomorphism. In particular, as  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras,*

$$\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \mathbb{M}_{d_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{M}_{d_h}(\mathbb{C}).$$

*Proof.* First, since  $\rho$  is a homomorphism, it suffices to show that it is a bijection. Next,

$$\dim \mathbb{C}[G] = \#(G) = \sum_{i=1}^h d_i^2 = \dim(\mathbb{M}_{d_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{M}_{d_h}(\mathbb{C})).$$

As  $\rho$  is a linear map between two finite dimensional vector spaces of the same dimension,  $\rho$  is a bijection  $\iff \rho$  is injective  $\iff \text{Ker } \rho = 0$ .

Thus assume that  $\rho(\alpha) = 0$ . We must show that  $\alpha = 0$ . By definition,  $\rho_{V_i}(\alpha) = 0$  for every irreducible representation  $V_i$ . Using (3) of Remark 1.5, it then follows that  $\rho_V(\alpha) = 0$  for every representation  $V$ . In particular, taking  $V = \mathbb{C}[G]$ , viewed as the regular representation, it follows that  $\rho_{\text{reg}}(\alpha) = 0$ . By Example 1.6(2), this says that multiplication by  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{C}[G]$

is identically 0, i.e.  $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}[G]$ . Taking  $\beta = 1$ , we see that  $0 = \alpha \cdot 1 = \alpha$ . Hence  $\alpha = 0$ . It follows that  $\rho$  is injective and thus an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Remark 1.8.** If  $k$  has characteristic zero but is not necessarily algebraically closed, then one can show that, as  $k$ -algebras,

$$k[G] \cong \mathbb{M}_{n_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{M}_{n_k}(D_k),$$

where the  $D_k$  are division algebras, possibly fields, containing  $k$ . For example,

$$\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}] \cong \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/n}).$$

It is also possible for non-commutative division algebras to appear. For example, if  $Q$  is the quaternion group, then

$$\mathbb{R}[Q] \cong \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{H}.$$

Next, we relate the isomorphism in Wedderburn's theorem to the center of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . We have stated (without proof) that the center of  $\mathbb{M}_d(\mathbb{C})$  is  $\mathbb{C} \cdot \text{Id}$ . Thus the center of  $\mathbb{M}_{d_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{M}_{d_h}(\mathbb{C})$  is  $\mathbb{C} \cdot \text{Id} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{Id}$ . As for  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ , it is a little easier to describe its center using the incarnation  $\mathbb{C}[G] \cong L^2(G)$ .

**Proposition 1.9.** *The center of  $L^2(G)$  under the operation of convolution is the vector subspace  $Z$  of class functions.*

*Proof.* Since  $\{\delta_x : x \in G\}$  is a basis for  $L^2(G)$ , a function  $f \in L^2(G)$  is in the center of  $L^2(G)$   $\iff$  for all  $x \in G$ ,  $\delta_x * f = f * \delta_x$   $\iff$  for all  $x \in G$  and all  $g \in G$ ,  $\delta_x * f(g) = f * \delta_x(g)$ . We have seen in the HW that  $\delta_x * f(g) = f(x^{-1}g)$  and that  $f * \delta_x(g) = f(gx^{-1})$ . Thus  $f$  is in the center of  $L^2(G)$   $\iff$  for all  $x, g \in G$ ,  $f(x^{-1}g) = f(gx^{-1})$   $\iff$  for all  $x, g \in G$ ,  $f(xg) = f(gx)$  (replacing  $x^{-1}$  by  $x$ )  $\iff$   $f$  is a class function.  $\square$

Via the isomorphism  $\rho$ , the center of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  has to correspond to the center of  $\mathbb{M}_{d_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{M}_{d_h}(\mathbb{C})$ . In fact, we have already computed the image  $\rho(f)$  of a class function  $f$ , in Proposition 1.3 of the handout "Characters II:"

$$\rho(f) = (t_1 \text{Id}, \dots, t_h \text{Id}),$$

where  $t_i = \frac{\#(G)\langle f, \bar{\chi}_{V_i} \rangle}{d_i}$ .

To conclude this section, we give a formula for  $\rho^{-1}$ :

**Proposition 1.10** (Fourier inversion). *Given  $(A_1, \dots, A_h) \in \text{End } V_1 \times \dots \times \text{End } V_h \cong \mathbb{M}_{d_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{M}_{d_h}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\rho^{-1}(A_1, \dots, A_h) = \sum_g t_g \cdot g$ , where*

$$t_g = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \text{Tr}(\rho_{V_i}(g^{-1})A_i).$$

*Proof.* By linearity, it is enough to check this formula for  $(A_1, \dots, A_h) = \rho(x) = \rho(\delta_x)$ , identifying the basis vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}[G]$  with the basis element  $\delta_x \in L^2(G)$ . In other words, we can take  $A_i = \rho_{V_i}(x)$ . Then

$$\text{Tr}(\rho_{V_i}(g^{-1})A_i) = \text{Tr}(\rho_{V_i}(g^{-1})\rho_{V_i}(x)) = \text{Tr}(\rho_{V_i}(g^{-1}x)) = \chi_{V_i}(g^{-1}x),$$

and so we want to show that  $t_g = 1$  if  $g = x$  and  $t_g = 0$  otherwise, where

$$t_g = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \chi_{V_i}(g^{-1}x).$$

But as  $\sum_{i=1}^h d_i \chi_{V_i} = \chi_{\text{reg}}$  is the character of the regular representation,

$$\sum_{i=1}^h d_i \chi_{V_i}(g^{-1}x) = \begin{cases} \#(G), & \text{if } g^{-1}x = 1; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This implies that  $t_g = 1$  if  $g^{-1}x = 1$ , i.e.  $g = x$ , and  $t_g = 0$  otherwise, as claimed.  $\square$

## 2 A basis for $L^2(G)$

We have seen that the characters of the distinct irreducible representations are a unitary basis for the space of class functions. It is natural to ask if we can use representation theory to find a basis for all of  $L^2(G)$ . We shall outline how to do so.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two irreducible  $G$ -representations and let  $F: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear map. Define*

$$p(F) = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_W(g) \circ F \circ \rho_V(g)^{-1}.$$

*Then:*

- (i) *If  $V$  and  $W$  are not isomorphic, then  $p(F) = 0$ .*

(ii) If  $V = W$ , then

$$p(F) = \frac{\text{Tr } F}{\dim V} \text{Id}.$$

*Proof.* (i) We have seen that  $p$  is a projection onto  $\text{Hom}^G(V, W)$ . But if  $V$  and  $W$  are not isomorphic, then  $\text{Hom}^G(V, W) = 0$  by Schur's lemma. Thus  $p(F) = 0$ .

(ii) Again by Schur's lemma, if  $V$  is irreducible, then  $\text{Hom}^G(V, W) \cong \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{Id}$ . Thus  $p(F) = t \text{Id}$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Taking the trace, we see that

$$\text{Tr}(p(F)) = \text{Tr}(t \text{Id}) = t \dim V.$$

On the other hand,

$$\text{Tr}(p(F)) = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \text{Tr}(\rho_V(g) \circ F \circ \rho_V(g)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \text{Tr } F,$$

using the identity that  $\text{Tr}(ABA^{-1}) = \text{Tr } B$  for every invertible matrix  $A$ . Thus  $\text{Tr}(p(F)) = \text{Tr } F$ . Comparing this with  $\text{Tr}(p(F)) = t \dim V$  gives  $t = \text{Tr } F / \dim V$ , which is the formula of (ii).  $\square$

We now interpret the lemma in terms of the matrix coefficients of  $\rho_V(g)$  and  $\rho_W(g)$ :

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two irreducible  $G$ -representations and suppose that  $v_1, \dots, v_d$  is a basis for  $V$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_e$  is a basis for  $W$ . For  $g \in G$ , let  $\rho_V(g)_{ij}$  be the  $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$  entry in the matrix for  $\rho_V(g)$  corresponding to the basis  $v_1, \dots, v_d$ , and similarly for  $\rho_W(g)_{ij}$ . Then*

(i) *If  $V$  and  $W$  are not isomorphic, then, for all  $i, j, 1 \leq i, j \leq d$  and all  $k, \ell, 1 \leq k, \ell \leq e$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g^{-1})_{ij} \rho_W(g)_{k\ell} = 0.$$

(ii) *If  $V = W$  and  $v_i = w_i$  for all  $i$ , then for all  $i, j, k, \ell, 1 \leq i, j, k, \ell \leq d$ ,*

$$\frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g^{-1})_{ij} \rho_V(g)_{k\ell} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\dim V}, & \text{if } i = \ell \text{ and } j = k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



*Proof.* Let  $F_{rs}: V \rightarrow W$  be the linear map defined by  $F_{rs}(v_r) = w_s$  and  $F_{rs}(v_i) = 0$ ,  $i \neq r$ . Then a computation shows that

$$\rho_W(g) \circ F_{rs} \circ \rho_V(g)^{-1}(v_i) = \sum_{\ell=1}^e \rho_V(g^{-1})_{ri} \rho_W(g)_{\ell s} w_\ell.$$

Hence, summing over all  $g \in G$  and dividing by  $\#(G)$ , we see that

$$p(F_{rs})(v_i) = \sum_{\ell=1}^e \left( \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g^{-1})_{ri} \rho_W(g)_{\ell s} \right) w_\ell.$$

If  $V$  and  $W$  are not isomorphic, then, for all  $r, s$ ,  $p(F_{rs}) = 0$ , so  $p(F_{rs})(v_i) = 0$  for all  $i$ . This says that, for all  $r, s, i, \ell$ , the coefficient of  $w_\ell$  in  $p(F_{rs})(v_i)$  is 0, which is (i) (with a different labeling of the indices). As for (ii), we know that  $p(F_{rs})$  is of the form  $t \cdot \text{Id}$ , in particular it only has nonzero entries along the diagonal. Moreover, the diagonal entry for  $v_i$  in  $\rho_V(g) \circ F_{rs} \circ \rho_V(g)^{-1}(v_i)$  is  $\rho_V(g^{-1})_{ri} \rho_V(g)_{is}$ . Again, summing over  $g \in G$  and dividing by  $\#(G)$ , we see that

$$\frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g^{-1})_{ri} \rho_V(g)_{js} = \begin{cases} \frac{\text{Tr } F_{rs}}{\dim V}, & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\text{Tr } F_{rs} = 0$  if  $r \neq s$  and  $\text{Tr } F_{rr} = 1$ , we get the formula in (ii).  $\square$

The appearance of the term  $\rho_V(g^{-1})_{ij}$  is hard to exploit, since in general there is no good formula for  $\rho_V(g^{-1})$  in terms of  $\rho_V(g)$ . However, if  $\rho_V(g)$  is unitary with respect to the basis  $v_1, \dots, v_d$ , then things are much better:

$$\rho_V(g^{-1}) = \rho_V(g)^{-1} = {}^* \rho_V(g)$$

is the adjoint matrix, and hence

$$\rho_V(g^{-1})_{ij} = \overline{\rho_V(g)_{ji}}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g^{-1})_{ij} \rho_W(g)_{k\ell} = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\rho_V(g^{-1})_{ji}} \rho_W(g)_{k\ell} = \langle (\rho_W)_{k\ell}, (\rho_V)_{ji} \rangle.$$

In this case, the formulas of (i) and (ii) above read:

- (i) If  $V$  and  $W$  are not isomorphic, then, for all  $i, j, 1 \leq i, j \leq d$  and all  $k, \ell, 1 \leq k, \ell \leq e$ ,

$$\langle (\rho_W)_{k\ell}, (\rho_V)_{ji} \rangle = 0.$$

- (ii) If  $V = W$  and  $v_i = w_i$  for all  $i$ , then for all  $i, j, k, \ell, 1 \leq i, j, k, \ell \leq d$ ,

$$\langle (\rho_V)_{k\ell}, (\rho_V)_{ji} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\dim V}, & \text{if } i = \ell \text{ and } j = k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Summarizing, we obtain:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  be the distinct irreducible representations of  $G$  up to isomorphism and let  $d_i = \dim V_i$ . We suppose that, for each  $i$ , we have chosen a  $G$ -invariant Hermitian inner product on  $V_i$  and a unitary basis  $v_1, \dots, v_{d_i}$  for this inner product and let  $(\rho_{V_i}(g)_{rs})$  be the (unitary) matrix for  $\rho_{V_i}(g)$  with respect to this basis. For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq h$  and for  $r, s$  with  $1 \leq r, s \leq d_i$ , set*

$$f_{i,r,s}(g) = \sqrt{d_i} \rho_{V_i}(g)_{rs}.$$

*Then the normalized matrix coefficients  $f_{i,r,s}(g)$  are a basis for  $L^2(G)$ .*

*Proof.* The calculations above show that the functions  $f_{i,r,s}$  are orthonormal, in the sense that  $\langle f_{i,r,s}, f_{j,t,u} \rangle = 0$  unless  $i = j, r = t, s = u$ , and  $\langle f_{i,r,s}, f_{i,r,s} \rangle = 1$ . In particular they are linearly independent. But the number of such functions is  $\sum_{i=1}^h d_i^2 = \#(G)$ , and so they must be a basis for  $L^2(G)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** For every representation  $V$  of  $G$ , a  $G$ -invariant positive definite Hermitian inner product always exists on  $V$ : choose an arbitrary positive definite Hermitian inner product  $H_0$  on  $V$  and average over  $G$ , i.e. set

$$H(v, w) = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} H_0(\rho_V(g)(v), \rho_V(g)(w)).$$

It is clear that  $H$  is a positive definite Hermitian inner product, and the usual arguments show that  $H$  is  $G$ -invariant, i.e. that

$$H(\rho_V(g)(v), \rho_V(g)(w)) = H(v, w)$$

for all  $v, w \in V$  and  $g \in G$ . Thus the matrices for  $\rho_V(g)$  with respect to a unitary basis are unitary.

The  $G$ -invariant positive definite Hermitian inner product  $H$  is not necessarily unique. However, if  $V$  is irreducible, then an argument with Schur's lemma shows that every other  $G$ -invariant positive definite Hermitian inner product  $H'$  is of the form  $tH$  for some positive real number  $t$ . However, we omit the details.

### 3 The Fourier transform for finite abelian groups

In this section, we assume that  $G$  is a finite **abelian** group.

**Definition 3.1.** The *dual group*  $\widehat{G}$  is the set of homomorphisms  $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ . Thus in particular  $\widehat{G} \subseteq L^2(G)$ . It is easy to check that (for an arbitrary, not necessarily abelian group  $G$ ) that  $\widehat{G}$  is a group under pointwise multiplication of homomorphisms, i.e. if we define the product  $\lambda_1\lambda_2$  by

$$(\lambda_1\lambda_2)(g) = \lambda_1(g)\lambda_2(g).$$

The multiplicative inverse of  $\lambda$  is  $\lambda^{-1} = 1/\lambda$  (**not** the inverse function!), which is again a homomorphism from  $G$  to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Note that, as  $\lambda(g)$  has finite order,  $\lambda(g)$  has absolute value one, and hence  $\lambda^{-1} = \bar{\lambda}$ .

Beginning with the next lemma, however, we strongly use the fact that  $G$  is abelian.

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\#(\widehat{G}) = \#(G)$ . Moreover, the  $\lambda \in \widehat{G}$  are a unitary basis of  $L^2(G)$  with respect to the Hermitian inner product.

*Proof.* For a finite abelian group  $G$ , if  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  are the irreducible representations, with  $d_i = \dim V_i$ , then we have seen that  $d_i = 1$  for all  $i$  and that  $h = \#(G)$ . Then the  $V_i$  are necessarily of the form  $\mathbb{C}(\lambda_i)$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \widehat{G}$  and each element of  $\widehat{G}$  appears exactly once as a  $\lambda_i$ . Thus  $h = \#(\widehat{G}) = \#(G)$ .

To see the final statement, we know that, for a general finite group  $G$ , the characters are a basis for the space of class functions. For an abelian group, a character is just an element of  $\widehat{G}$  and a class function is just a function, so that  $\widehat{G}$  is a basis of  $L^2(G)$ . It is a unitary basis by the orthogonality relations for characters (or by an easy direct argument in this case):  $\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle = 1$  if  $\lambda = \mu$  and  $\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle = 0$  otherwise.  $\square$

**Example 3.3.** For  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , every homomorphism  $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is of the form  $\lambda_a$  (here  $a$  is an integer mod  $n$ ), where

$$\lambda_a(k) = e^{2\pi i ak/n}.$$

In particular,  $\lambda_a(1) = e^{2\pi ia/n}$  is an element of  $\mu_n$ , ie. an element of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  of order  $n$ , which determines and is determined by the homomorphism. Also, by the rules of exponents  $\lambda_a \cdot \lambda_b = \lambda_{a+b}$ , and  $\lambda_a = 1 \iff a = 0$  as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $a \mapsto \lambda_a$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ .

More generally, every finite abelian group  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$ , but there is no “natural” choice of isomorphism.

**Definition 3.4.** For a finite abelian group  $G$ , and a function  $f \in L^2(G)$ , we define the *Fourier transform*  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\widehat{G})$  by:

$$\hat{f}(\lambda) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{\lambda(g)} = \#(G) \langle f, \lambda \rangle.$$

Thus the Fourier transform is a linear map  $FT: L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(\widehat{G})$ .

**Remark 3.5.** Other normalizations are also possible. For example, one could define  $\hat{f}(\lambda)$  to be  $\langle f, \lambda \rangle$  or  $\langle f, \bar{\lambda} \rangle$ , with minor changes in the formulas below. In fact, we will use a different normalization in the nonabelian case.

The main point in what follows is that there are **two** different and interesting bases for  $L^2(G)$ . The first is  $\{\delta_x : x \in X\}$ . This is almost but not quite unitary with respect to the Hermitian inner product on  $L^2(G)$ : in fact,

$$\langle \delta_x, \delta_y \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \neq y; \\ \frac{1}{\#(G)}, & \text{if } x = y. \end{cases}$$

The second basis is the unitary basis  $\widehat{G}$ . Given  $f \in L^2(G)$ , the coefficient of  $f$  with respect to the basis element  $\delta_x$  for the basis  $\{\delta_x : x \in X\}$  is by definition  $f(x)$ . The coefficient of  $f$  with respect to the basis element  $\lambda$  for the unitary basis  $\widehat{G}$  is

$$\langle f, \lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \hat{f}(\lambda).$$

In much of what follows, the arguments will boil down to comparing these two different descriptions of a function  $f$ .

**Example 3.6.** (1) For  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , and using the remarks above to identify  $\lambda_a \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$  with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\hat{f}(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(k) e^{-2\pi i ak/n}.$$

(2) For a general abelian group  $G$  and  $x \in G$ , we have

$$\hat{\delta}_x(\lambda) = \overline{\lambda(x)} = \lambda^{-1}(x).$$

Thus  $\hat{\delta}_x = \text{ev}_x \circ \sigma = \overline{\text{ev}_x}$ , where  $\text{ev}_x \in L^2(\widehat{G})$  is evaluation at  $x$  and  $\sigma: \widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}$  is complex conjugation of homomorphisms.

(3) Since  $\widehat{G} \subseteq L^2(G)$ , we can also form the Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  of a  $\mu \in \widehat{G}$ .

**Claim 3.7.**  $\hat{\mu} = \#(G)\delta_\mu$ .

*Proof.* By definition,  $\hat{\mu}(\lambda) = \#(G)\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle$ . But  $\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle = \delta_\mu(\lambda)$ , so that  $\hat{\mu} = \#(G)\delta_\mu$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** For all  $f, f_1, f_2 \in L^2(G)$ ,

$$(i) \quad \boxed{f = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}} \hat{f}(\lambda)\lambda} \quad (\text{Fourier inversion})$$

$$(ii) \quad \boxed{\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \langle \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2 \rangle} \quad (\text{Plancherel formula})$$

$$(iii) \quad \boxed{\widehat{f_1 * f_2} = \hat{f}_1 \hat{f}_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \boxed{\widehat{f_1 f_2} = \frac{1}{\#(G)} (\hat{f}_1 * \hat{f}_2)}$$

*Proof.* (i) Since  $\widehat{G}$  is a unitary basis for  $L^2(G)$ ,

$$f = \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}} \langle f, \lambda \rangle \lambda = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}} \hat{f}(\lambda)\lambda.$$

(ii) Again using the fact that  $\widehat{G}$  is a unitary basis for  $L^2(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle &= \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}} \langle f_1, \lambda \rangle \overline{\langle f_2, \lambda \rangle} = \frac{1}{\#(G)^2} \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}} \hat{f}_1(\lambda) \overline{\hat{f}_2(\lambda)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#(G)} \langle \hat{f}_1, \hat{f}_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) In fact, we have essentially proved the first formula, see (2) of Remark 1.5. The point is that, in the abelian case, we have defined  $\rho: L^2(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$ , where  $h = \#(G)$ , by setting  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)}(f) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g)\lambda(g) = \#(G)\langle f, \bar{\lambda} \rangle$ . Thus  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)}(f) = \hat{f}(\bar{\lambda})$ . By Remark 1.5,  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)}(f_1 * f_2) = \rho_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)}(f_1)\rho_{\mathbb{C}(\lambda)}(f_2)$ , and this proves the formula up to conjugating  $\lambda$ .

It is however easy to give a direct proof. It suffices by linearity to check the formula for  $f_1 = \delta_x$  and  $f_2 = f$  an arbitrary element of  $L^2(G)$ , since the  $\delta_x$  are a basis for  $L^2(G)$ . Recall that  $(\delta_x * f)(g) = f(x^{-1}g)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\delta_x * f}(\lambda) &= \sum_{g \in G} f(x^{-1}g) \overline{\lambda(g)} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{\lambda(xg)} \\ &= \overline{\lambda(x)} \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{\lambda(g)} = \overline{\lambda(x)} \hat{f}(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

But  $\overline{\lambda(x)} = \hat{\delta}_x(\lambda)$ , by (2) of Example 3.6, and so

$$\widehat{\delta_x * f} = \hat{\delta}_x \hat{f}$$

as claimed.

To prove the second formula in (iii), it is enough to check it for  $f_1 = \mu \in \widehat{G} \subseteq L^2(G)$  and  $f_2 = f$  arbitrary, using the fact that  $\widehat{G}$  is a basis for  $L^2(G)$ . Here  $\mu f(g) = \mu(g)f(g)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mu f}(\lambda) &= \sum_{g \in G} \mu(g) f(g) \overline{\lambda(g)} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{(\mu^{-1}\lambda)(g)} \\ &= \hat{f}(\mu^{-1}\lambda) = \delta_\mu * \hat{f}.\end{aligned}$$

By (3) of Example 3.6,  $\hat{\mu} = \#(G)\delta_\mu$ . Thus

$$\widehat{\mu f} = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \hat{\mu} * \hat{f}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

We give another interpretation of Fourier inversion as follows. Since  $\widehat{G}$  is a finite abelian group, we can consider its dual group  $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$ . By a homework problem, we have the homomorphism  $\text{ev}: G \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{G}}$  defined by  $\text{ev}(g)(\lambda) = \lambda(g)$ , and it is an isomorphism. Thus, we can view  $L^2(\widehat{\widehat{G}})$  as  $L^2(G)$  and must compute the value  $\hat{\hat{f}}$  on  $g \in G$ .

**Proposition 3.9.**  $\hat{\hat{f}}(g) = \#(G)f(g^{-1})$ .

*Proof.* By definition of the Fourier transform,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\hat{f}}(g) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{\widehat{G}}} \hat{f}(\lambda) \overline{\text{ev}(g)(\lambda)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{\widehat{G}}} \hat{f}(\lambda) \overline{\lambda(g)} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{\widehat{G}}} \sum_{h \in G} f(h) \overline{\lambda(h)\lambda(g)} = \sum_{h \in G} f(h) \left( \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{\widehat{G}}} \overline{\lambda(hg)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

But the sum over all  $\lambda \in \widehat{G}$  of  $\overline{\lambda(gh)} = \lambda^{-1}(gh)$  is the same as the sum over all  $\lambda$  of  $\lambda(gh)$ , so that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}} \overline{\lambda(hg)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \widehat{G}} \lambda(hg) = \begin{cases} \#(G), & \text{if } gh = 1, \text{ i.e. } h = g^{-1}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\hat{f}(g) = f(g^{-1})\#(G)$ . □

## 4 The non-abelian case

We will now reinterpret the results of Section 1 in the language of the previous section. For a finite group  $G$ , choose a set of irreducible representations  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  of  $V$  in the usual way and set  $\dim V_i = d_i$ . We will think of the set  $\{V_1, \dots, V_h\}$  as the set of irreducible representations of  $G$  up to isomorphism, and will denote this set by  $\widehat{G}$ . Note that, for a nonabelian  $G$ ,  $\widehat{G}$  is just a **set**, not a group, and there is no set  $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$ . We have defined an isomorphism

$$\rho = (\rho_{V_1}, \dots, \rho_{V_h}): \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \text{End } V_1 \times \dots \times \text{End } V_h \cong \mathbb{M}_{d_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{M}_{d_h}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and will view this rather as an isomorphism from  $L^2(G)$  to  $\text{End } V_1 \times \dots \times \text{End } V_h$ . The  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\rho(f)$  is then

$$\rho_{V_i}(f) = F_{V_i, f} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_{V_i}(g).$$

We think of this as defining a “function”  $\hat{f}$  whose value at  $V_i$  is the linear map  $\rho_{V_i}(f) = F_{V_i, f}: V_i \rightarrow V_i$ .

This construction differs from the Fourier transform of an abelian group in two ways: First, in the abelian case,  $V_i$  is one-dimensional and thus  $\text{End } V_i$  can be identified with  $\mathbb{C}$ , and we can identify the set  $\{V_1, \dots, V_h\}$  with  $\widehat{G}$ . Thus  $\hat{f}$  is defined on  $\widehat{G}$  and it has a well-defined value in  $\mathbb{C}$ , so it is just a function, i.e. an element of  $L^2(\widehat{G})$ . Second, we used the normalization  $\hat{f}(\lambda) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \overline{\lambda(g)}$ , so the above definition defines what we had previously defined to be  $\hat{f}(\lambda^{-1})$ , not  $\hat{f}(\lambda)$ . This is one of many annoying normalization issues, but we will not try to be consistent here.

Finally, we will define the adjoint  ${}^*A$  of an  $A \in \text{End } V_i \cong \mathbb{M}_{d_i}(\mathbb{C})$  by taking the adjoint with respect to some  $G$ -invariant positive definite Hermitian inner product  $H$  on  $V_i$ , i.e.  ${}^*A$  is defined by the property that

$$H(Av, w) = H(v, {}^*Aw)$$

for all  $v, w \in V_i$ . As in the discussion in Remark 2.4, such an  $H$  exists and is unique up to multiplication by a positive real number, and the adjoint is the same for all possible choices of  $H$ . In particular, since  $\rho_{V_i}(g)$  is unitary with respect to  $H$ , we have  ${}^*\rho_{V_i}(g) = \rho_{V_i}(g)^{-1}$ .

With this said, we have the non-abelian analogue of Theorem 3.8:

**Theorem 4.1.** *For all  $f, f_1, f_2 \in L^2(G)$ ,*

$$(i) \quad \boxed{f = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{V_i}(g)^{-1} \hat{f}(V_i))} \quad (\text{Fourier inversion})$$

$$(ii) \quad \boxed{\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\#(G)^2} \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{f}_1(V_i) \cdot {}^*(\hat{f}_2(V_i)))} \quad (\text{Plancherel formula})$$

$$(iii) \quad \boxed{\widehat{f_1 * f_2} = \hat{f}_1 \hat{f}_2}$$

*Proof.* We have proved (i) (in a slightly different notation) in Proposition 1.10. And (iii) follows from Lemma 1.4 (see also (2) of Remark 1.5). So we must show (ii). Since  $\{\delta_x : x \in G\}$  is a basis for  $L^2(G)$ , it is enough to check (ii), using the bilinearity of both sides, for  $f_1 = \delta_x$  and  $f_2 = \delta_y$ , for all  $x, y \in G$ . In this case,

$$\langle \delta_x, \delta_y \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\#(G)}, & \text{if } x = y; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, by definition,  $\hat{\delta}_x(V_i) = \rho_{V_i}(x)$ . Thus, the right hand side of (ii) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\#(G)^2} \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{V_i}(x) \cdot {}^*\rho_{V_i}(y)) &= \frac{1}{\#(G)^2} \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{V_i}(x) \rho_{V_i}(y)^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\#(G)^2} \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{V_i}(xy^{-1})) \\ &= \frac{1}{\#(G)^2} \sum_{i=1}^h d_i \chi_{V_i}(xy^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\#(G)^2} \chi_{\text{reg}}(xy^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

But  $\chi_{\text{reg}}(xy^{-1}) = \#(G)$  if  $x = y$  and  $\chi_{\text{reg}}(xy^{-1}) = 0$  otherwise. Thus we see that the right hand side of (ii) is equal to  $\langle \delta_x, \delta_y \rangle$  as claimed.  $\square$