

# Characters II: Class functions

## 1 Class functions

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a *class function* or *central* if, for all  $g, x \in G$ ,  $f(xgx^{-1}) = f(g) \iff$  for all  $g, x \in G$ ,  $f(xg) = f(gx)$ . Equivalently,  $f$  is constant on conjugacy classes of  $G$ , i.e.  $y \in C(x) \implies f(y) = f(x)$ . We define  $Z \subseteq L^2(G) = \mathbb{C}[G]$  to be the vector space of all class functions.

Note that the positive definite Hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $L^2(G)$  defines a positive definite Hermitian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $Z$  by restriction.

**Example 1.2.** 1) If  $V$  is a  $G$ -representation and  $\chi_V$  is its character, then  $\chi_V$  is a class function.

2) Let  $x \in G$  and let  $C(x)$  be the conjugacy class of  $x$ . Define the *characteristic function*  $f_{C(x)}$  as follows:

$$f_{C(x)}(g) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } g \in C(x); \\ 0, & \text{if } g \notin C(x). \end{cases}$$

Then  $f_{C(x)}$  is a class function and the set of  $f_{C(x)}$  is clearly a basis for  $Z$ . It is an orthogonal basis of  $Z$  with respect to the Hermitian inner product, i.e.  $\langle f_{C(x)}, f_{C(y)} \rangle = 0$  if  $C(x) \neq C(y)$ , but it is not unitary as

$$\langle f_{C(x)}, f_{C(x)} \rangle = \frac{\#(C(x))}{\#(G)}.$$

Finally, it is clear that  $\dim Z$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ , since the  $f_{C(x)}$  are a basis for  $Z$ .

Let  $V$  be a  $G$ -representation and let  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function. Define a linear map  $F_{V,f}: V \rightarrow V$  by:

$$F_{V,f} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(g).$$

Clearly, if  $V \cong V_1 \oplus V_2$ , then  $F_{V_1 \oplus V_2, f} = F_{V_1, f} \oplus F_{V_2, f}$ .

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a class function, and let  $V$  be an irreducible  $G$ -representation. If  $F_{V,f}$  is defined as above, then  $F_{V,f} = t \text{Id}$ , where*

$$t = \frac{\#(G)\langle f, \overline{\chi_V} \rangle}{\dim V}.$$

*Proof.* First we claim that, for a class function  $f$ ,  $F_{V,f}$  is a  $G$ -morphism (for every  $G$ -representation, not necessarily irreducible). We must show that

$$\rho_V(h) \circ F_{V,f} \circ \rho_V(h)^{-1} = F_{V,f}.$$

Using the definition of  $F_{V,f}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_V(h) \circ F_{V,f} \circ \rho_V(h)^{-1} &= \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(h) \circ \rho_V(g) \circ \rho_V(h)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(hgh^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} f(hgh^{-1}) \rho_V(hgh^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(g) = F_{V,f}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $f$  is a class function to conclude that  $f(g) = f(hgh^{-1})$ , and also the fact that, for a fixed  $h \in G$ , the elements  $hgh^{-1}$  run through all elements of  $G$ .

Thus  $F_{V,f}$  is a  $G$ -morphism. By Schur's lemma, if  $V$  is irreducible, then  $F_{V,f} = t \text{Id}$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Taking traces, we find that

$$\text{Tr } F_{V,f} = t(\dim V).$$

On the other hand, by definition,

$$\text{Tr } F_{V,f} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \chi_V(g) = \#(G)\langle f, \overline{\chi_V} \rangle.$$

Equating these gives the formula for  $t$ . □

**Proposition 1.4.** (i) *If  $f$  is a class function and  $\langle f, \chi_V \rangle = 0$  for all irreducible representations  $V$ , then  $f = 0$ .*

(ii) *If  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  are the irreducible representations of  $G$ , in the sense that  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  are irreducible representations such that (1) For  $i \neq j$ ,  $V_i$  is not isomorphic to  $V_j$  and (2) Every irreducible  $G$ -representation is isomorphic to  $V_i$  for some  $i$ , then the characters  $\chi_{V_1}, \dots, \chi_{V_h}$  are a unitary basis for  $Z$ , the vector space of class functions.*

*Proof.* (i) If  $V$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$ , then  $V^*$  is irreducible as well, by a HW problem. Thus, since  $\chi_{V^*} = \overline{\chi_V}$ , the hypothesis of (i) implies that  $\langle f, \overline{\chi_V} \rangle = 0$  for every irreducible representation  $V$  of  $G$ . By Proposition 1.3,

$$F_{V,f} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(g) = 0.$$

Since every representation is a direct sum of irreducible representations, it follows that  $\sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_V(g) = 0$  for every representation  $V$ . In particular, taking  $V = \mathbb{C}[G]$ , it follows that

$$F_{\mathbb{C}[G],f} = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(g) = 0.$$

Let  $1 = 1 \cdot 1$  be the identity element of the ring  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  (the coefficient of  $1 \in G$  is 1, and the coefficient of  $g \neq 1$  is 0). Then  $F_{\mathbb{C}[G],f}(1) = 0$ . But  $\rho_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(g)(1) = g \cdot 1 = g$ , so

$$\sum_{g \in G} f(g) \rho_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(g)(1) = \sum_{g \in G} f(g) \cdot g = 0.$$

It follows that  $f(g) = 0$  for all  $g$ , i.e.  $f = 0$ .

(ii) Since  $\langle \chi_{V_i}, \chi_{V_j} \rangle = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  and  $= 1$  for  $i = j$ , the functions  $\chi_{V_1}, \dots, \chi_{V_h}$  are a linearly independent subset of  $Z$ . To see that they are basis, it suffices to show that they span  $Z$ . Equivalently, it suffices to show that  $\{\chi_{V_1}, \dots, \chi_{V_h}\}^\perp = \{0\}$ . But this follows from (1).  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5.** *The number of irreducible representations of  $G$  up to isomorphism as above is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By (ii) of the above proposition, the number of irreducible representations of  $G$  up to isomorphism is equal to  $\dim Z$ . On the other hand,  $\dim Z$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ , and equating these two expressions for  $\dim Z$  gives the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.6.** *The group  $G$  is abelian  $\iff$  every irreducible representation of  $G$  has dimension one.*

*Proof.* We have seen that, if  $G$  is abelian, then every irreducible representation of  $G$  has dimension one. Conversely, suppose that every irreducible representation of  $G$  has dimension one, and let  $h$  denote as usual the number of such up to isomorphism. Since  $\sum_{i=1}^h d_i^2 = \#(G)$ , It follows that  $h = \#(G)$ . Since  $h$  is also the number of conjugacy classes of  $G$ , this number is also  $\#(G)$ . Clearly, this is only possible if every conjugacy class has exactly one element. But this implies that  $G$  is abelian.  $\square$

We also have the following orthogonality relations:

**Proposition 1.7.** *With  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  as above and  $\chi_{V_1}, \dots, \chi_{V_h}$  the corresponding characters, then, for all  $x \in G$ ,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^h |\chi_{V_i}(x)|^2 = \frac{\#(G)}{\#(C(x))}$$

whereas for all  $y \in G$ , if  $y \notin C(x)$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \chi_{V_i}(x) \overline{\chi_{V_i}(y)} = 0$$

*Proof.* Let  $C(x)$  be a conjugacy class in  $G$  and let  $f_{C(x)}$  be the characteristic function of  $C(x)$ . Since  $\chi_{V_1}, \dots, \chi_{V_h}$  is a basis for the space of class functions, there exist  $t_i \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f_{C(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^h t_i \chi_{V_i}.$$

Taking inner products, and using the orthogonality relations, we find that

$$t_i = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^h t_j \chi_{V_j}, \chi_{V_i} \right\rangle = \langle f_{C(x)}, \chi_{V_i} \rangle = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} f_{C(x)}(g) \overline{\chi_{V_i}(g)}.$$

But  $f_{C(x)}(g) = 0$  if  $g$  is not conjugate to  $x$  and  $= 1$  if  $g$  is conjugate to  $x$ , so the last sum above is a sum of  $\overline{\chi_{V_i}(g)}$  for all  $g$  conjugate to  $x$ . For such an  $x$ ,  $\overline{\chi_{V_i}(g)} = \overline{\chi_{V_i}(x)}$  since  $\chi_{V_i}$  is a class function, and the total number of possible  $g$  is  $\#(C(x))$ . Thus  $t_i = \frac{\#(C(x))}{\#(G)} \overline{\chi_{V_i}(x)}$ . Hence

$$f_{C(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{\#(C(x))}{\#(G)} \overline{\chi_{V_i}(x)} \chi_{V_i}.$$

Plugging in  $x$ , we see that

$$1 = f_{C(x)}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{\#(C(x))}{\#(G)} \overline{\chi_{V_i}(x)} \chi_{V_i}(x) = \frac{\#(C(x))}{\#(G)} \sum_{i=1}^h |\chi_{V_i}(x)|^2,$$

which gives the first formula above. For the second, plug in a  $y \notin C(x)$  to get

$$0 = f_{C(x)}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{\#(C(x))}{\#(G)} \overline{\chi_{V_i}(x)} \chi_{V_i}(y),$$

and hence  $\sum_{i=1}^h \overline{\chi_{V_i}(x)} \chi_{V_i}(y) = 0$ . Taking complex conjugates gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \chi_{V_i}(x) \overline{\chi_{V_i}(y)} = 0$$

as well. □

## 2 Character tables

Given a group  $G$ , its *character table* is an  $h \times h$  matrix (or table), where we plot the conjugacy classes  $C(x_1), \dots, C(x_h)$  of  $G$  horizontally, typically starting with  $C(1) = \{1\}$ , and the distinct irreducible representations  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  of  $G$  (up to isomorphism) vertically, typically starting with the trivial representation, and the corresponding entry in the table is the common value of  $\chi_{V_i}$  on any element of  $C(x_j)$ . For example, the character table of  $S_3$  is given as follows:

	1	$(i, j)$	$(i, j, k)$
1	1	1	1
$\varepsilon$	1	-1	1
$\chi_{W_2}$	2	0	-1

Here, we have symbolically denoted the conjugacy class of all 2-cycles by  $(i, j)$ , and similarly for 3-cycles. As for the list of characters of irreducible representations, the trivial representation  $\mathbb{C}(1)$  has character the trivial homomorphism, or constant function 1, and the other dimension one representation  $\mathbb{C}(\varepsilon)$  has character  $\varepsilon$ , where  $\varepsilon: S_3 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is the sign homomorphism. The orthogonality relations imply that the columns of the table are orthogonal, viewed as vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  under the Hermitian inner product, and the sums of the absolute values squared as we go down a column are equal to  $6/\#(C(x))$ , where  $C(x)$  is the corresponding conjugacy class, hence (reading from left to right) 6, 2, 3 respectively.