

# Permutation representations

## 1 Permutation representations

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $X$  be a finite  $G$ -set. For simplicity we will assume that  $\#(X) \geq 2$ . Recall that  $G$  acts *transitively* on  $X$  if, for all  $x, y \in X$ , there exists a  $g \in G$  such that  $g \cdot x = y$ . Equivalently, there is exactly one  $G$ -orbit, i.e. for one (or equivalently all)  $x \in X$ ,  $G \cdot x = X$ .

**Definition 1.1.**  $G$  acts *doubly transitively* on  $X$  if, for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  and  $z \neq w$ , there exists a  $g \in G$  such that  $g \cdot x = z$  and  $g \cdot y = w$ . In particular, the  $G$ -action is transitive.

Equivalently, let  $G$  act on the Cartesian product  $X \times X$  in the obvious way:  $g \cdot (x, y) = (g \cdot x, g \cdot y)$ , and let  $\Delta \subseteq X \times X$  be the diagonal:

$$\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x = y\}.$$

Thus  $\Delta$  is a  $G$ -invariant subset and so  $X \times X - \Delta$  is also a  $G$ -set. Then  $G$  acts doubly transitively on  $X \iff G$  acts transitively on  $X \times X - \Delta \iff$  there are exactly two  $G$ -orbits for the action of  $G$  on  $X \times X$ , namely  $X \times X - \Delta$  and  $\Delta$ .

**Example 1.2.** 1) The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts doubly transitively on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . In fact, given  $i, j, k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$  and  $k \neq \ell$ , the sets  $\{1, \dots, n\} - \{i, j\}$  and  $\{1, \dots, n\} - \{k, \ell\}$  both have  $n - 2$  elements, so there is some bijection

$$\sigma_0: \{1, \dots, n\} - \{i, j\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\} - \{k, \ell\}.$$

Then define a permutation  $\sigma: \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$  by

$$\sigma(i) = k; \quad \sigma(j) = \ell; \quad \sigma(x) = \sigma_0(x), \quad x \neq i, j.$$

Then by construction  $\sigma(i) = k$  and  $\sigma(j) = \ell$ , so the action is doubly transitive.

2) The alternating group  $A_n$  acts doubly transitively on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  for  $n \geq 4$ : Given  $i, j, k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$  and  $k \neq \ell$ , use the above to find a  $\sigma \in S_n$  such that  $\sigma(i) = k$  and  $\sigma(j) = \ell$ . If  $\sigma \in A_n$ , we are done. Otherwise,  $\sigma$  is odd. Since  $n \geq 4$ , there exists two distinct elements  $r, s \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{k, \ell\}$ . Then  $(r, s)\sigma \in A_n$  since it is a product of an even number of transpositions and  $(r, s)\sigma(i) = (r, s)(k) = k$  and similarly  $(r, s)\sigma(j) = (r, s)(\ell) = \ell$ . Thus the action is doubly transitive. Note however that for  $n = 2, 3$  the action of  $A_n$  on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is not doubly transitive. For example, there is no  $\sigma \in A_3$  such that  $\sigma(1) = 2$  and  $\sigma(2) = 1$ .

3) For  $n \geq 4$ , the action of  $D_n$  on the vertices of a regular  $n$ -gon (or equivalently on the  $n$  points  $(\cos \frac{2\pi k}{n}, \sin \frac{2\pi k}{n})$  in the model for  $D_n$  we have constructed) is transitive but **not** doubly transitive. This is because the action must send a pair of adjacent vertices to a pair of adjacent vertices, and, for  $n \geq 4$ , there are always vertices which are not adjacent.

Let  $X$  be a  $G$ -set and consider  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ , viewed as a  $G$ -representation. Our goal is to better understand the decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  into irreducible representations. There is always a one-dimensional  $G$ -invariant subspace  $W_1 = t \cdot \sum_{x \in X} x$  on which  $G$  acts trivially and a subspace

$$W_2 = \left\{ \sum_{x \in X} t_x \cdot x : \sum_{x \in X} t_x = 0 \right\},$$

with  $\mathbb{C}[X] = W_1 \oplus W_2$ . Note that  $W_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X]^G$ , but equality does not always hold.

**Proposition 1.3.**  $\dim \mathbb{C}[X]^G$  is equal to the number of  $G$ -orbits of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Write the distinct orbits of  $G$  in  $X$  as  $O_1, \dots, O_t$ . For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , set

$$v_i = \sum_{x \in O_i} x \in \mathbb{C}[X].$$

Viewing  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  as  $L^2(X)$ , the vector space of functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , the element  $v_i$  corresponds to the *characteristic function of  $O_i$* , i.e. the function  $f_{O_i}$  defined by

$$f_{O_i}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in O_i; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin O_i. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $v_1, \dots, v_t$  are linearly independent elements of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . Moreover,

$$\rho_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(g)(v_i) = \sum_{x \in O_i} \rho_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(g)(x) = \sum_{x \in O_i} g \cdot x = \sum_{x \in O_i} x = v_i,$$

since  $g \in G$  permutes the orbit  $O_i$ . Hence  $v_1, \dots, v_t$  are linearly independent elements of  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ . We must show that they span  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ . Given an element  $\alpha = \sum_{x \in X} t_x \cdot x$ , we can break the sum up into a sum over the orbits:

$$\alpha = \sum_{x \in X} t_x \cdot x = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{x \in O_i} t_x \cdot x.$$

**Claim 1.4.** *If  $\alpha = \sum_{x \in X} t_x \cdot x \in \mathbb{C}[X]^G$ , then, for all  $x, y \in O_i$ ,  $t_x = t_y$ , i.e. the value  $t_x$  is the same for all  $x \in O_i$ .*

In fact, assuming the claim, let  $s_i$  be the common value of  $t_x$  for  $x \in O_i$ . Then  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^t s_i v_i$ . Thus the  $v_i$  span  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$  and hence are a basis, so  $\dim \mathbb{C}[X]^G = t$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the claim.* It follows from the definitions that  $\alpha = \sum_{x \in X} t_x \cdot x \in \mathbb{C}[X]^G \iff \rho_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(g)(\alpha) = \alpha$  for all  $g \in G \iff$  for all  $g \in G$

$$\sum_{x \in X} t_x \cdot (g \cdot x) = \sum_{x \in X} t_x \cdot x.$$

Equivalently, for all  $x \in X$  and all  $g \in G$ ,  $t_x = t_{g^{-1} \cdot x}$ . In particular, if  $y$  is in the same orbit  $O_i$  as  $x$ , say  $x = g \cdot y$ , then  $t_y = t_{g^{-1} \cdot x} = t_x$ , which is the statement of the claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.5.** *The subspace  $W_1$  of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is equal to  $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ , or equivalently  $(W_2)^G = \{0\} \iff G$  acts transitively on  $X$ .*  $\square$

**Corollary 1.6** (Burnside's lemma). *If the finite group  $G$  acts on a finite set  $X$ , and  $t$  is the number of  $G$ -orbits of  $X$ , then*

$$t\#(G) = \sum_{g \in G} \#(X^g).$$

*Proof.* By general theory,

$$\dim \mathbb{C}[X]^G = \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}, 1 \rangle = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(g) = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \#(X^g),$$

where  $X^g = \{x \in X : g \cdot x = x\}$ . Thus the corollary follows from Proposition 1.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.7.** *With notation as above, write  $\mathbb{C}[X] = W_1 \oplus W_2$ . Then  $W_1 = \mathbb{C}[X]^G \iff G$  acts transitively on  $X$ . Moreover, in this case  $W_2$  is irreducible  $\iff G$  acts doubly transitively on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement is Corollary 1.5. Assume that this is the case. Now  $W_2$  is irreducible  $\iff \langle \chi_{W_2}, \chi_{W_2} \rangle = 1$ . On the other hand, we can write

$$\chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]} = \chi_{W_1} + \chi_{W_2} = 1 + \chi_{W_2}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}, \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \rangle &= \langle 1 + \chi_{W_2}, 1 + \chi_{W_2} \rangle \\ &= \langle 1, 1 \rangle + \langle 1, \chi_{W_2} \rangle + \langle \chi_{W_2}, 1 \rangle + \langle \chi_{W_2}, \chi_{W_2} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\langle 1, 1 \rangle = 1$ . Since  $G$  acts transitively on  $X$ ,  $W_2^G = \{0\}$ , and hence  $\langle \chi_{W_2}, 1 \rangle = 0$ , likewise  $\langle 1, \chi_{W_2} \rangle = \langle \overline{\chi_{W_2}}, 1 \rangle = 0$ . It follows that

$$\langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}, \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \rangle = 1 + \langle \chi_{W_2}, \chi_{W_2} \rangle.$$

Thus  $W_2$  is irreducible  $\iff \langle \chi_{W_2}, \chi_{W_2} \rangle = 1 \iff \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}, \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \rangle = 2$ .

Clearly, all of the values of  $\chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}$  are integers, since  $\chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(g) = \#(X^g)$ . In particular, they are real numbers. Thus

$$\langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}, \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \rangle = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} |\chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(g)|^2 = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(g)^2 = \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}^2, 1 \rangle.$$

Next, we claim that

$$\chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}^2 = \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X \times X]}.$$

In fact, for every  $g \in G$ ,  $\chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}^2(g) = (\#(X^g))^2$ . On the other hand, we have seen that

$$\chi_{\mathbb{C}[X \times X]}(g) = \#((X \times X)^g),$$

where  $(X \times X)^g = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : g \cdot (x, y) = (x, y)\}$ . Since  $g \cdot (x, y) = (g \cdot x, g \cdot y)$ ,  $(x, y) \in (X \times X)^g \iff g \cdot x = x$  and  $g \cdot y = y \iff x \in X^g$  and  $y \in X^g$ . In other words,  $(X \times X)^g = (X^g) \times (X^g)$ . Thus  $\#((X \times X)^g) = (\#(X^g))^2$  and hence  $\chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}^2 = \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X \times X]}$  as claimed.

Putting this together, we see that

$$\langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}, \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \rangle = \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}^2, 1 \rangle = \langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X \times X]}, 1 \rangle.$$

By Proposition 1.3,  $\langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X \times X]}, 1 \rangle$  is the number of orbits of  $G$  acting on  $X \times X$ . Hence, by the remarks in Definition 1.1,  $\langle \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]}, \chi_{\mathbb{C}[X]} \rangle = 2 \iff$  the  $G$ -action on  $X \times X$  has exactly two orbits  $\iff$  the  $G$ -action on  $X$  is doubly transitive.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.8.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , the representation of  $S_n$  on  $W = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) : \sum_i t_i = 0\}$  is an irreducible representation of dimension  $n - 1$ . For  $n \geq 4$ , the representation of  $A_n$  on  $W$  is an irreducible representation of dimension  $n - 1$ .  $\square$*