

DAG.

V. Structured spaces.

Goal: generalize the notion of locally nnged spaces to the derived setting.

1. ∞ -Topoi.

Idea: generalization of topological spaces

1.1 Giraud's axioms.

Def. An ∞ -cat. \mathcal{X} is called an ∞ -topos if there exists a small ∞ -cat. \mathcal{C} and an accessible left exact localization functor $P(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

More intrinsic: HTT 6.1.0.b

∞ -cat. \mathcal{X} is ∞ -topos (\Leftrightarrow) \mathcal{X} satisfies the following analogue of Giraud's axioms:

(i) \mathcal{X} presentable

(ii) colims in \mathcal{X} are universal:

for any morphism $f: T \rightarrow S$ in \mathcal{X} , the associated pullback functor $f^*: \mathcal{X}/S \rightarrow \mathcal{X}/T$ preserves colims

(\Leftrightarrow) \exists a right adjoint f_* by adjoint functor thm)

(iii) coproducts in \mathcal{X} are disjoint:

every coCart. diagram $\begin{array}{ccc} \phi & \rightarrow & \gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \rightarrow & X \amalg \gamma \end{array}$ is also Cart.

(iv) every groupoid object of \mathcal{X} is effective:

Rough idea: equivalence relations $\xleftrightarrow{1:1}$ quotients

Precisely:

Def. $\Delta = \{[n], n \geq 0\}$ cat. of combinatorial simplices

$\Delta_+ = \Delta \cup \{[-1] = \emptyset\}$, $\Delta_+^{\leq n} \subset \Delta_+$ spanned by $\{[k]\}_{k \leq n}$

\mathcal{C} ∞ -cat. A simplicial obj. of \mathcal{C} is a functor

$U: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, let $U_n = U([n])$. An augmented

simplicial obj. of \mathcal{C} is a functor $U^+: \Delta_+^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$.

A simplicial obj. of \mathcal{C} is a groupoid obj. if for

every $n \geq 0$ and every partition $[n] = S \cup S'$ s.t.

$S \cap S' = \{s\}$ singleton, then the diagram

$$U([n]) \rightarrow U(S)$$

is a pullback in \mathcal{C} .

$$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow$$

$$U(S') \rightarrow U(\{s\})$$

HTT 0.1.2.11. \mathcal{C} ∞ -cat., $U^+: \Delta_+^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ augmented

simplicial obj. of \mathcal{C} . TFAE.

(1) U^+ is a right Kan extension of $U^+|_{(\Delta_+^{\leq 0})^{\text{op}}}$

(2) the underlying simplicial obj. U is a groupoid obj.

of \mathcal{C} and the diagram $U^+|_{(\Delta_+^{\leq 1})^{\text{op}}}$ is a pullback

$$U_1 \rightarrow U_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_0 & \rightarrow & U_{-1} \end{array}$$

In this case, U^\dagger is called the Čech nerve of $U_0 \xrightarrow{u} U_{-1}$.

Def. A simplicial obj. u of ∞ -cat. \mathcal{C} is called an effective groupoid if it can be extended to a cochain diagram

$$U^\dagger: \Delta_+^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \quad \text{s.t. } U^\dagger \text{ is a Čech nerve.}$$

1-2. Grothendieck topologies and sheaves.

Def. \mathcal{C} ∞ -cat., a sieve on \mathcal{C} is a full subcat.

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\text{co})} \subset \mathcal{C} \quad \text{s.t. for any } D \in \mathcal{C}^{(\text{co})}, f: C \rightarrow D \text{ in } \mathcal{C},$$

$$\text{we have } C \in \mathcal{C}^{(\text{co})}.$$

For any $C \in \mathcal{C}$, a sieve on C is a sieve on \mathcal{C}/C .

A Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{C} consists of, for every

$C \in \mathcal{C}$, a collection of sieves on C called covering sieves

Satisfying:

(1) $\forall C \in \mathcal{C}$, the sieve $e_{/C} \subset e_{/C}$ on C is a covering sieve

(2) $\forall f: C \rightarrow D$ in \mathcal{C} , f^* preserves covering sieves

(3) $\forall C \in \mathcal{C}$, covering sieve $e_{/C}^{(0)}$ on C , $e_{/C}^{(1)}$ an arbitrary sieve on C . If for each $f: D \rightarrow C$ belonging to $e_{/C}^{(0)}$, the pullback $f^* e_{/C}^{(1)}$ is a covering sieve on D then $e_{/C}^{(1)}$ is a covering sieve on C .

RMK. Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{C} is essentially the same as Grothendieck topology on $h\mathcal{C}$.

Prop. \mathcal{C} α -cat., $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $j: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C})$ Yoneda embedding

we have a bijection $\{\text{subobjs of } j(C)\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{sieves on } C\}$
(monomorphism $i: U \rightarrow j(C)$) $\mapsto e_{/C}(U)$

$e_{/C}(U) \subset e_{/C}$ spanned by $f: D \rightarrow C$ s.t. $\exists j(D) \xrightarrow{j(f)} j(C)$
 $\downarrow \quad \uparrow$
 $U \quad i$

Def. \mathcal{C} ∞ -cat., S a collection of morphisms in \mathcal{C} . An obj. Z of \mathcal{C} is called S -local iff every $s: X \rightarrow Y$ belonging to S , composition with s induces a htpy equiv

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, Z) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Z).$$

Def. \mathcal{C} ∞ -cat. equipped with a Grothendieck topology, $j: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow P(\mathcal{C})$ Yoneda embedding. Consider S the

collection of all monomorphisms corresponding to the covering sieves. A presheaf $\mathcal{F} \in P(\mathcal{C})$ is called a sheaf iff it

is S -local.

Denote $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{C}) \subset P(\mathcal{C})$ full subcat. spanned by sheaves.

HTT 6.2.2.7: $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{C})$ is an ∞ -topos.

1.3. The ∞ -cat. of ∞ -topoi.

Def. \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} ∞ -topoi. A geom. morphism between \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} is a pair of adjoint functors $\mathcal{X} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{f^*} \\ \leftarrow \\ \xrightarrow{f_*} \end{matrix} \mathcal{Y}$ s.t. f^* is left exact.

Define subcat. $\mathcal{L}\text{Top}, \mathcal{R}\text{Top} \subset \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ as follows:

(1) objs are ∞ -topoi

(2) a functor $f^* : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of ∞ -topoi belonging to $\mathcal{L}\text{Top}$ if it preserves small colims and finite lims

it has a left exact left adjoint.

Rmk. $\mathcal{L}\text{Top} \cong \mathcal{R}\text{Top}^{\text{op}}$.

HTT b.3.2-4: $\mathcal{L}\text{Top}, \mathcal{R}\text{Top}$ admit lims and colims.

1.4 Etale morphisms of ∞ -topoi.

Prop. \mathcal{X} ∞ -topos, $U \in \mathcal{X}$, then

(1) \mathcal{X}/U is ∞ -topos

(2) $\pi_! : \mathcal{X}/U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ has a right adjoint commuting with colims

hence π^* has a right adjoint $\pi_*: \mathcal{X}/U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and (π^*, π_*) gives a geom. morphism of ∞ -topoi.

Def. Such geom. morphisms are called étale morphisms.

HTT 6.3.5.13 : Let $\mathcal{RTop}_{\text{ét}} \subset \mathcal{RTop}$ spanned by all ∞ -topoi and étale geom. morphisms. Then $\mathcal{RTop}_{\text{ét}}$ admits small colims, i.e. we can glue ∞ -topoi along étale open subsets.

2. Structured sheaves.

2.1 Sheaves with values in an ∞ -cat.

"ringed space"

Def. \mathcal{C} ∞ -Cat. , \mathcal{X} ∞ -topoi, a \mathcal{C} -valued sheaf on \mathcal{X}

is a functor $\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which preserves small limits.

Denote $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \text{Fun}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ spanned by

\mathcal{C} -valued sheaves on \mathcal{X} .

Def. T ∞ -cat. equipped with a Grothendieck topology.

\mathcal{C} ∞ -cat., a functor $U: T^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is called a \mathcal{C} -valued sheaf on T if for every $X \in T$, covering sieve $T_{/X}^{\circ}$ the composite map $(T_{/X}^{\circ})^{\Delta} \subset (T_{/X})^{\Delta} \rightarrow T \xrightarrow{U^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is a colim diagram in \mathcal{C}^{op} .

Denote $\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(T) \subset \text{Fun}(T^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$.

Ex. $\text{Shv}(T) \cong \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{S}}(T)$.

Prp. For T as above, $j: T \rightarrow \text{P}(T)$ Yoneda, we have

$L: \text{P}(T) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(T)$ sheafification functor left adjoint to

the inclusion. \mathcal{C} ∞ -cat. admitting small lims. Then the

composition with $L \circ j$ induces equiv. of ∞ -cat.

$$\text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Shv}(T)) \cong \text{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(T).$$

2.2. Geometries.

Idea: in order to make sense of "locally ringed" we need an extra struc. on ∞ -cat. \mathcal{C} .

Def. \mathcal{G} ∞ -cat., an admissibility struc. on \mathcal{G} consists of the following data:

(1) a subcat. $\mathcal{G}^{ad} \subset \mathcal{G}$ spanned by all the objs and admissible morphisms satisfying

(i) pullback of admissible morphism always exists and is again admissible

(ii)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} & f \rightarrow Y & \\ X & \searrow & \downarrow g \\ & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \end{array} \quad g, h \text{ admissible} \Rightarrow f \text{ admissible}$$

(iii) retract of admissible morphism is admissible

(2) a Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{G} , generated by admissible morphisms in the following sense: any covering sieve \mathcal{G}/x contains a covering sieve generated by collection of adm. mor.

Def. A geom. consists of the following data:

(1) an ∞ -cat. \mathcal{G} which admits finite lms and is idempotent complete

(2) an adm. struc. on \mathcal{G} .