

# SOD FOR BLOW-UPS

WENQI LI

## 1. SETUP

We start by recalling the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.1.** *Suppose  $f : S \rightarrow T$  is a projective morphism of smooth projective varieties such that  $f_* : D^b(S) \rightarrow D^b(T)$  sends  $\mathcal{O}_S$  to  $\mathcal{O}_T$ . Then  $f^* : D^b(T) \rightarrow D^b(S)$  is fully faithful and gives an equivalence of  $D^b(T)$  with an admissible subcategory of  $D^b(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Adjunction gives a natural map

$$F \rightarrow f_* f^*(F) \cong f_*(f^*F \otimes \mathcal{O}_S) \cong F \otimes f_*(\mathcal{O}_S) \cong F.$$

So  $f_* f^*$  is naturally isomorphic to the identity functor, so  $f^*$  is fully faithful. ■

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a vector bundle on a smooth projective variety  $Y$ . There is a natural projective morphism  $\pi : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow Y$  that satisfies the condition in the proposition, so it induces a fully faith functor  $\pi^* : D^b(Y) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}))$  whose image is an admissible subcategory.

In fact, if  $\mathcal{N}$  has rank  $r$ , we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition of  $D^b(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}))$ :

$$\pi^* D^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(a), \dots, \pi^* D^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(a + r - 1).$$

This is a relative version of the semi-orthogonal decomposition  $\mathbf{P}^r = \langle \mathcal{O}(a), \dots, \mathcal{O}(a + r - 1) \rangle$ .

The second situation where the condition in the proposition is satisfied is blow-ups. Let  $q : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be the blow-up of a smooth projective variety  $X$  along some smooth closed  $Y \subset X$ . Then  $q$  is projective and  $q_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$ . So  $q^* : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(\tilde{X})$  gives an admissible subcategory of  $D^b(\tilde{X})$ .

For blow-ups, let  $i : E \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$  be the exceptional divisor, and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the normal sheaf of  $Y$ , which is the dual of  $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$ . Then  $E = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N})$  is a projective bundle  $\pi : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow Y$  over  $Y$ . This is summarized by the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ i \uparrow & & \uparrow j \\ E = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y \end{array}$$

## 2. CLOSED IMMERSION

A first step is to understand the closed immersion  $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$ . Suppose first  $Y$  is the zero subvariety of a section  $s$  of a locally free sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of rank  $c$  (so  $Y$  is of codimension  $c$  in  $X$ ). Then we have the Koszul resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^c \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0.$$

(Affine locally, given a element  $s$  of some free  $R$ -module  $M$ , we obtain a map  $M^\vee \rightarrow R$  by evaluating against  $s$ . The differential is

$$d(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i e_i(s) e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are the dual basis.) In other words, we have a quasi-isomorphism  $\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ . Also, in this case  $\mathcal{N} \cong j^* \mathcal{E}$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *In the situation above, we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$j^* j_* \mathcal{O}_Y \cong \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^k \mathcal{N}^\vee[k].$$

Moreover, for any object  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(Y)$ , we have

$$j_* j^* j_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet \cong j_* \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes j_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet \cong j_* \left( \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^k \mathcal{N}^\vee[k] \otimes \mathcal{F}^\bullet \right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}om_X(j_* \mathcal{O}_Y, j_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cong j_* \left( \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^k \mathcal{N}[-k] \otimes \mathcal{F}^\bullet \right)$$

*Proof.* Since we have the quasi-isomorphism  $\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ , we can compute  $j^* j_* \mathcal{O}_Y$  by  $\bigwedge^\bullet j^* \mathcal{E}^\vee$ . The definition of the Koszul complex tells us the differentials of  $\bigwedge^\bullet j^* \mathcal{E}^\vee$  are all zero, because they are given by evaluating against  $s$  but  $Y$  is exactly the subscheme where  $s$  is 0. Therefore

$$j^* j_* \mathcal{O}_Y \cong \bigwedge^\bullet j^* \mathcal{E}^\vee \cong \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^k j^* \mathcal{E}^\vee[k] \cong \bigoplus_k \bigwedge^k \mathcal{N}^\vee[k].$$

To prove the other two isomorphisms, we split the resolution  $\bigwedge^\bullet \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow j_* \mathcal{O}_Y$  into short exact sequences, and tensor each one with  $j_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet$ . Since  $j_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet$  is supported on  $Y$  and the differentials vanish on  $Y$ , we obtain splitting triangles. Then taking the direct sum of all of those gives the desired results.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 2.2.** *In the same situation, for any  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(Y)$  we have*

$$\mathcal{H}^l(j^* j_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cong \bigoplus_{s-r=l}^r \bigwedge^s \mathcal{N}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{H}^s(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}xt_X^l(j_* \mathcal{O}_Y, j_* \mathcal{F}^\bullet) \cong j_* \left( \bigoplus_{r+s=l}^r \bigwedge^s \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{H}^s(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \right).$$

*Proof.* Since  $j$  is a closed immersion,  $j_*$  is exact, so it commutes with taking cohomology. Also,  $\mathcal{N}$  is locally free, so tensoring by  $\mathcal{N}$  and its exterior powers also commutes with taking cohomology. Using the previous proposition and these two fact to push symbols around and conclude.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Now assume further that  $Y$  has codimension 1 in  $X$ . Then*

$$j^*j_*\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus j^*\mathcal{O}_X(-Y)[1].$$

Moreover, for any object  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(Y)$ , we have a distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-Y)[1] \rightarrow j^*j_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet$$

and an isomorphism

$$j_*j^*j_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \cong j_*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \oplus j_*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-Y))[1].$$

*Proof.* From the Proposition we get  $j^*j_*\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus (\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2)[1]$ , and  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}_X(-Y)$ . The third isomorphism is true for the same reason. Proof of the distinguished triangle is omitted. ■

We can upgrade to a more global situation.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $j : Y \rightarrow X$  be an arbitrary closed immersion of smooth varieties. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{H}^i(j^*j_*\mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \bigwedge^{-i} \mathcal{N}^\vee$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}xt_X^i(j_*\mathcal{O}_Y, j_*\mathcal{O}_Y) \cong \bigwedge^i \mathcal{N}$$

*Proof.* Choose a global locally free resolution  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ , and glue the local results proved above. The local isomorphisms are canonical so they glue. ■

### 3. BLOW-UPS

We return to the situation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ \uparrow i & & \uparrow j \\ E = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y \end{array}$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $Y \subset X$  is of codimension  $c \geq 2$ . The functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_k : D^b(Y) &\rightarrow D^b(\tilde{X}) \\ \mathcal{F}^\bullet &\mapsto i_*(\mathcal{O}_E(kE) \otimes \pi^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)) \end{aligned}$$

*is fully faithful for any  $k$ , and admits a right adjoint.*

The functor  $\Phi_k$  is a Fourier-Mukai transform with kernel  $\mathcal{O}_E(kE)$  considered as an object in  $D^b(Y \times \tilde{X})$  (remember that  $\mathcal{O}_E(kE)$  means  $i^*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(kE)$ ). More precisely, we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ & & \uparrow p_1 & & \uparrow j \\ & & \tilde{X} \times Y & \xrightarrow{p_2} & Y \\ & \nearrow i & & & \\ E = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{N}) & \xrightarrow{f} & & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \end{array}$$

The Fourier-Mukai transform with kernel  $f_*\mathcal{O}_E(kE)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} (p_1)_*(p_2^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}_E(kE)) &\cong (p_1)_*f_*(f^*p_2^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(kE)) \\ &\cong i_*(\pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(kE)). \end{aligned}$$

Bondal and Orlov has the following result which gives a criterion for whether a Fourier-Mukai transform is fully faithful:

**Theorem 3.2.** *A Fourier-Mukai transform  $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}} : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$  with kernel  $\mathcal{P}$  is fully faithful if and only if for any two closed points  $x, y \in X$ ,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(k(x)), \Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(k(y)))[i] = \begin{cases} k, & x = y \text{ and } i = 0 \\ 0, & x \neq y \text{ or } i < 0 \text{ or } i > \dim(X). \end{cases}$$

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* To use the criterion we first need to compute  $\Phi_k(k(y))$  for  $y \in Y$ . We have  $\pi^*k(x) = \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(y)}$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_E(E)$  restricted to any fiber is  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$  of that fiber, so we end up with  $i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(y)}(-k)$ . Hence if  $x \neq y$ , then  $\Phi_k(k(x))$  and  $\Phi_k(k(y))$  have disjoint supports, so there is no non-trivial morphism between them.

We are left with the case  $x = y$ . Namely, we want to compute

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\tilde{X}}(\Phi_k(k(x)), \Phi_k(k(x)))[i] = \mathrm{Ext}_{\tilde{X}}^i(\Phi_k(k(x)), \Phi_k(k(x))) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\tilde{X}}^i(i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}(-k), i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}(-k))$$

This is zero for  $i < 0$ . We have the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{E}xt^q(i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}, i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)})) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\tilde{X}}^{p+q}(i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}, i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)})$$

We have just seen in Proposition 2.4 how to compute this. Namely,

$$\mathcal{E}xt^q(i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}, i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}) = \bigwedge^q \mathcal{N}_{\pi^{-1}(x)/\tilde{X}}.$$

So

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\pi^{-1}(x), \bigwedge^q \mathcal{N}_{\pi^{-1}(x)/\tilde{X}}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{\tilde{X}}^{p+q}(i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}, i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)})$$

We use the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\pi^{-1}(x)/E} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\pi^{-1}(x)/\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{E/\tilde{X}}|_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \rightarrow 0.$$

General theory of blow-ups tells us  $\mathcal{N}_{\pi^{-1}(x)/E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}^{\oplus d}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{E/\tilde{X}}|_{\pi^{-1}(x)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}(-1)$ . Also,

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}(-1), \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}^{\oplus d}) = H^1(\pi^{-1}(x), \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}(1)) = 0$$

because  $\pi^{-1}(x) \cong \mathbf{P}^{c-1}$ . So the short exact sequence splits. This allows use to conclude  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for all  $p > 0$  or  $p = 0$  and  $q > d$ . Hence  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\tilde{X}}^q(i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}(-k), i_*\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}(-k)) = E^{0,q} = 0$  for  $q > d$  and  $\mathrm{Ext}^0 = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(x)}) = k$ .  $\blacksquare$

Thus, for each  $k = -c + 1, \dots, -1$ , the image

$$\mathcal{D}_k = \mathrm{Im} \left( \Phi_{-k} : D^b(Y) \rightarrow D^b(\tilde{X}) \right)$$

is an admissible subcategory of  $D^b(\tilde{X})$  that is equivalent to  $D^b(Y)$ . We will denote by  $\mathcal{D}_0$  the full subcategory  $q^*D^b(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.3** (Orlov). *The admissible subcategories*

$$\mathcal{D}_{-c+1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{-1}, \mathcal{D}_0$$

form a semi-orthogonal decomposition of  $D^b(\tilde{X})$ .

*Proof.* There are always two steps: check they are orthogonal, and check they generate  $D^b(X)$ .

First let  $-c+1 \leq l < k < 0$ . We will show  $\mathcal{D}_l \subset \mathcal{D}_k^\perp$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}^\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(Y)$ . Also write  $\mathcal{O}_\pi(m)$  for  $\mathcal{O}_E(-mE)$ . We have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(i_*(\pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(k)), i_*(\pi^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(l))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(i^*i_*\pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \pi^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(l-k))$$

We have a distinguished triangle by Corollary 2.3, which applies since  $E$  has codimension 1 in  $\tilde{X}$

$$\pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(1)[1] \rightarrow i^*i_*\pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(1)[2]$$

So it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(1), \pi^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(l-k)) &= 0 \\ \mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \pi^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(l-k)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

For example the second one is

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}^\bullet \otimes \pi_*\mathcal{O}_\pi(l-k)) = 0$$

because  $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_\pi(l-k) = 0$  for  $l-k < 0$  (the fibers are global section of  $\mathcal{O}(l-k)$  on  $\mathbf{P}^{c-1}$ ).

Now we show these categories generate  $D^b(\tilde{X})$ . The plan is to take an object  $\mathcal{E}^\bullet$  that is contained in  $\mathcal{D}_l^\perp$  for all  $-c+1 \leq l < 0$ , and show it is can't also be in  $\mathcal{D}_0^\perp$ . This assumption means

$$\mathrm{Hom}(i_*(\pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(l)), \mathcal{E}^\bullet) = 0$$

for all  $-c+1 \leq l < 0$ . Applying the adjoint  $i^!\mathcal{E} \cong i^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(E)[-1]$  and twisting by 1 gives

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\pi^*\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(l), i^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet) = 0$$

for all  $-c+2 \leq l < 1$  and all  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(Y)$ . This means  $i^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet$  is contained in orthogonal complement of  $\pi^*D^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(l)$ ,  $-c+2 \leq l \leq 0$  inside  $D^b(E)$ . But in the very beginning we saw a semi-orthogonal decomposition of  $D^b(E)$  with these terms, so we conclude that  $i^*\mathcal{E}^\bullet \in \pi^*D^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(-c+1)$ . In other words, there exists some  $\mathcal{G}^\bullet \in D^b(Y)$  such that  $i^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(c-1) \cong \pi^*\mathcal{G}$ .

Note that is  $\mathcal{G} \cong 0$ , then  $i^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(c-1)$  has support outside the exceptional divisor  $E$  so it is in  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , so we win. If  $\mathcal{G}$  is non-zero we can find a point  $x \in X$  such that there is a non-zero map from  $i^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(c-1)$  to  $q^*k(x)$  after some shift, but this requires a bit more theory in the closed immersion situation than we covered. ■

Let's look an example. Let  $X = \mathbf{P}^2$  and we blow it up at two points to obtain  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . Then the blow-up  $\tilde{X}$  has Picard group isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}^3$ , generated by a line in the original  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , and the two exceptional curves  $E_1, E_2$  which are both isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . The functor  $\Phi_1$  in this case has image generated by

$$i_*\mathcal{O}_{E_1}(-1), i_*\mathcal{O}_{E_2}(-1).$$

and we have a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\langle i_*\mathcal{O}_{E_1}(-1), i_*\mathcal{O}_{E_2}(-1), \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{P}^2) \rangle$$

We know  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{P}^2) = \langle \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(2) \rangle$ , so we get a exceptional collection

$$\langle i_*\mathcal{O}_{E_1}(-1), i_*\mathcal{O}_{E_2}(-1), \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(1), \pi^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(2) \rangle$$

It is well-known that the same surface  $\tilde{X}$  can also be realized as a blow up of  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ : The Castelnuovo criterion says there is a blow-up map  $\beta : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  that contracts the  $(-1)$ -curve on  $\tilde{X}$  which is the strict transform of the line that passes through the two points on  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . Let the exceptional curve from  $\beta$  be  $F$ . Then we obtain a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_F(-1), \beta^* D^b(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1) \rangle.$$

We know that  $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$  has a strong full exceptional collection

$$\langle \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(1, 0), \mathcal{O}(0, 1), \mathcal{O}(1, 1) \rangle$$

So we get another full exceptional collection

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_F(-1), \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}, \beta^* \mathcal{O}(1, 0), \beta^* \mathcal{O}(0, 1), \beta^* \mathcal{O}(1, 1) \rangle$$

of  $D^b(\tilde{X})$ .